CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE,   Suite 510,   Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080   Fax: 202-408-1056   center@cbpp.org   www.cbpp.org

March 17, 2004

LOCAL EFFECTS OF PROPOSED CUTS IN FEDERAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE DETAILED
Arizona

To find estimates for a housing agency in a particular city or county, press the "Ctrl" and "F" keys and enter the name of the city or county. Housing agencies are listed by the agency names contained in the HUD dataset used to develop this analysis. Because the HUD dataset uses a variety of name formats (e.g., the agency in Omaha could be listed as the Omaha Housing Authority or the Housing Authority of Omaha), it is not always possible to locate a city or county by scanning alphabetically.

The table shows estimates of reductions that would occur if the proposed funding cuts were distributed proportionally across housing agencies based on the size of each agency's voucher program. The estimates are based in part on data that housing agencies reported to HUD covering the period through July 2003. Individual agencies may have more current data they can use to update the estimates. For a small number of agencies the HUD data may contain errors; individual agencies will be able to verify whether the July 2003 number of authorized Section 8 vouchers is accurate.

Housing Agency	Number of Authorized Vouchers as of July 2003	Impact of Administration Proposal in 2005			Impact of Administration Proposal in 2009		
		Reduction in Voucher Subsidy Funding	Reduction in Number of Families Assisted if Cut Were Carried Out by Eliminating Vouchers	Increase in Annual Rent if Cut Were Carried Out by Raising Rent Burdens	Reduction in Voucher Subsidy Funding	Reduction in Number of Families Assisted if Cut Were Carried Out by Eliminating Vouchers	Increase in Annual Rent if Cut Were Carried Out by Raising Rent Burdens
Arizona Behavioral Health Corp.	75	$56,228	9	$734	$149,839	22	$1,909
Chandler Housing and Redevelopment Division	480	$474,351	58	$967	$1,264,069	140	$2,517
City of Flagstaff HA	333	$307,251	40	$903	$818,773	97	$2,350
City of Peoria HA	82	$79,723	10	$952	$212,449	24	$2,476
City of Phoenix Housing Department	5,269	$4,703,227	639	$874	$12,533,338	1,533	$2,273
City of Scottsdale	672	$657,283	81	$957	$1,751,552	195	$2,491
City of Tempe HA	1,068	$1,166,960	129	$1,070	$3,109,759	311	$2,783
City of Tucson Community Services	3,769	$2,678,518	457	$696	$7,137,817	1,096	$1,810
Cochise County	404	$200,582	49	$486	$534,518	118	$1,264
Douglas HA	192	$101,951	23	$520	$271,684	56	$1,352
Eloy	159	$99,903	19	$615	$266,225	46	$1,600
Gila County HA	53	$34,788	6	$643	$92,705	15	$1,672
Glendale	1,054	$1,385,392	128	$1,287	$3,691,845	307	$3,347
HA of City of Yuma	1,122	$817,790	136	$714	$2,179,277	326	$1,856
Maricopa County Housing Department	1,478	$1,385,783	179	$918	$3,692,887	430	$2,388
Mesa Housing Services	1,522	$1,245,427	184	$801	$3,318,861	443	$2,084
Mohave County HA	284	$179,059	34	$617	$477,164	83	$1,606
Nogales	192	$96,527	23	$492	$257,229	56	$1,280

Pima County City of Tucson	802	$585,379	97	$715	$1,559,940	233	$1,859
Pinal County Division of Housing	584	$407,073	71	$682	$1,084,782	170	$1,775
South Tucson	132	$117,096	16	$868	$312,042	38	$2,259
State of AZ PHA	59	$40,208	7	$667	$107,147	17	$1,736
Williams HA	47	$40,466	6	$843	$107,836	14	$2,193
Winslow HA	128	$90,762	16	$694	$241,866	37	$1,806
Yuma County Housing Department	401	$254,388	49	$621	$677,902	117	$1,616
Arizona	**20,361**	**$17,206,113**	**2,468**	**$827**	**$45,851,508**	**5,923**	**$2,152**

Note: The **funding reduction** is the amount by which funding for voucher subsidies would fall below the amount needed to maintain assistance at the current level. Most housing agencies would experience additional cuts in the funding they receive to administer the program; those cuts are not included in the table. The **reduction in number of families assisted** is the number of vouchers that would need to be eliminated if the entire cut were carried out by eliminating vouchers. Similarly, the **increase in annual rent** is the increase that would result if the full cut were implemented by raising rent burdens for all families receiving assistance. These estimates are based in part on data that housing agencies reported to HUD covering the period through July 2003. Individual agencies may have more current data that they could use to update the estimates.