

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ak901	Alaska Housing Finance Corp.	4,108	\$25,115,088	3,760	\$557	3,744	16
al121	Albertville	376	\$1,146,318	357	\$267	363	-6
al174	Alexander City HA	265	\$693,398	249	\$233	254	-5
al004	Anniston HA	246	\$800,830	246	\$271	242	4
al091	Arab HA	69	\$147,329	62	\$198	61	1
al154	Atmore HA	100	\$254,296	100	\$192	97	3
al050	Auburn HA	258	\$1,227,979	239	\$427	238	1
al125	Bessmer HA	277	\$1,483,092	273	\$453	266	7
al001	Birmingham District	4,652	\$26,096,312	4,370	\$498	3,874	496
al075	Boaz	368	\$1,169,755	334	\$292	351	-17
al180	Carrollton HA	50	\$138,510	45	\$254	46	-1
al158	Clayton HA	90	\$81,328	77	\$250	31	46
al072	Columbiana HA	79	\$241,588	72	\$279	66	6
al055	Cordova HA	50	\$112,476	45	\$208	41	4
al052	Cullman HA	183	\$323,771	159	\$170	153	6
al048	Decatur HA	950	\$3,325,193	940	\$330	750	190
al007	Dothan HA	615	\$2,404,632	600	\$334	564	36
al107	Elba HA	119	\$345,983	112	\$257	110	2
al115	Enterprise HA	190	\$490,954	189	\$216	155	34
al118	Eufaula HA	428	\$949,299	371	\$239	350	21
al181	Evergreen HA	160	\$472,237	160	\$244	152	8
al010	Fairfield HA	466	\$2,482,616	457	\$453	435	22
al054	Florence HA	677	\$2,731,014	677	\$327	684	-7
al165	Foley HA	279	\$1,212,784	255	\$396	249	6
al011	Fort Payne HA	111	\$291,958	102	\$238	108	-6
al138	Gordo HA	100	\$123,040	96	\$221	68	28
al049	Greater Gadsen HA	112	\$319,165	106	\$250	102	4
al155	Greenville	181	\$509,150	177	\$240	163	14
al014	Guntersville HA	174	\$557,119	149	\$311	159	-10
al053	Hamilton	72	\$171,348	72	\$183	64	8
al103	Hartford HA	36	\$68,842	24	\$236	35	-11
al047	Huntsville	1,199	\$5,177,404	1,139	\$379	1,192	-53
al139	Jacksonville HA	162	\$517,552	150	\$288	148	2
al012	Jasper HA	233	\$711,355	233	\$254	191	42
al086	Jefferson Co. HA	1,620	\$8,715,721	1,569	\$463	1,516	53

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
al069	Leeds HA	182	\$669,162	165	\$338	172	-7
al114	Lineville HA	55	\$142,304	55	\$179	48	7
al124	Midland city HA	50	\$169,364	50	\$280	47	3
al095	Millport	17	\$38,016	17	\$192	17	0
al202	Mobile County HA	95	\$494,632	93	\$445	88	5
al002	Mobile Housing Board	3,495	\$14,252,861	3,468	\$398	3,135	333
al173	Monroeville HA	46	\$137,793	46	\$248	43	3
al006	Montgomery	2,305	\$7,403,245	1,934	\$424	1,443	491
al152	Northport HA	393	\$1,955,991	393	\$411	393	0
al063	Oneonta HA	50	\$146,401	48	\$257	48	0
al061	Opelika HA	490	\$2,293,261	490	\$385	453	37
al112	OPP HA	100	\$286,401	84	\$284	81	3
al073	Ozark	434	\$1,371,644	421	\$271	427	-6
al005	Phenix City	772	\$2,824,048	712	\$331	658	54
al090	Phil Campbell	53	\$161,009	47	\$283	52	-5
al110	Piedmont	50	\$123,165	43	\$236	41	2
al131	Prattville City HA	40	\$147,620	37	\$329	37	0
al169	Prichard HA	2,596	\$14,054,733	2,560	\$458	2,251	309
al060	Russellville	90	\$242,797	81	\$249	90	-9
al128	Samson HA	208	\$469,862	182	\$216	172	10
al099	Scottsboro HA	175	\$539,171	163	\$275	163	0
al008	Selma HA	1,072	\$3,290,943	897	\$306	917	-20
al068	Sheffield HA	322	\$1,139,493	322	\$287	316	6
al192	South Central AL Regional HA	897	\$2,604,301	810	\$268	775	35
al105	Talladega HA	30	\$62,878	25	\$207	27	-2
al172	Tallassee HA	68	\$135,200	56	\$203	54	2
al013	Tarrant AL HA	87	\$474,277	87	\$427	84	3
al177	Troy HA	215	\$504,518	161	\$261	171	-10
al077	Tuscaloosa HA	1,156	\$4,514,178	1,089	\$346	1,084	5
al160	Tuskegee HA	74	\$221,247	68	\$271	68	0
al171	Uniontown HA	50	\$106,345	36	\$250	40	-4
al129	Walker County	146	\$346,390	131	\$220	112	19
al116	York HA	100	\$264,146	90	\$246	94	-4
ar012	Arkadelphia Sec. 8 Voucher	194	\$500,218	185	\$226	181	4
ar214	Ashley Co. sec. 8 voucher	215	\$673,502	215	\$258	205	10

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ar175	Benton sec. 8 voucher	566	\$2,506,691	566	\$359	527	39
ar247	Black River Area Sec. 8	120	\$352,870	120	\$236	115	5
ar005	Blytheville	150	\$301,983	117	\$216	102	15
ar059	Brinkley City HA	154	\$340,369	149	\$191	110	39
ar219	Cabot sec. 8 voucher	299	\$1,102,376	299	\$302	294	5
ar246	Calhoun Co.	28	\$71,276	28	\$211	23	5
ar016	Camden Sec. 8 Voucher	72	\$220,820	67	\$275	66	1
ar052	Clarendon HA	25	\$58,579	21	\$234	25	-4
ar176	Clay Co. Housing dept.	170	\$430,064	165	\$217	152	13
ar161	Conway County Housing	229	\$767,092	229	\$268	205	24
ar006	Conway Sec. 8 Voucher	298	\$1,059,405	298	\$286	296	2
ar194	Crawford Co. sec. 8 voucher	432	\$1,311,335	400	\$273	336	64
ar249	Dallas Co.	38	\$75,226	31	\$200	29	2
ar266	Desha Co. sec. 8 voucher	148	\$551,177	145	\$318	136	9
ar135	DeValls Bluff sec. 8 voucher	54	\$149,660	50	\$248	49	1
ar048	Dewitt sec. 8 voucher	140	\$470,425	119	\$330	115	4
ar210	Drew Co. sec. 8 voucher	267	\$850,153	252	\$288	252	0
ar264	Earle sec. 8 voucher	57	\$234,079	57	\$305	52	5
ar181	Fayetteville sec. 8 voucher	437	\$1,561,194	437	\$297	431	6
ar003	Fort Smith HA	1,077	\$4,158,136	1,077	\$301	1,047	30
ar241	Franklin Co. sec. 8	100	\$298,551	97	\$257	97	0
ar267	Greene Co. sec. 8 voucher	51	\$184,051	49	\$314	58	-9
ar200	Harrison sec. 8 voucher	507	\$1,483,368	507	\$240	457	50
ar068	Hope sec. 8 voucher	180	\$652,419	179	\$304	173	6
ar031	Hot Springs	658	\$2,606,120	631	\$344	608	23
ar226	Howard Co.	75	\$175,698	75	\$196	73	2
ar202	Hoxie sec. 8 voucher	103	\$281,494	103	\$228	99	4
ar170	Jacksonville sec. 8 voucher	362	\$1,379,554	318	\$362	348	-30
ar215	Johnson Co. sec. 8	150	\$424,729	138	\$257	147	-9
ar131	Jonesboro Urban Renewal & HA	1,308	\$6,186,984	1,298	\$397	1,295	3
ar152	Lake Village sec. 8 voucher	230	\$342,344	140	\$204	193	-53
ar177	Lawrence Co. sec. 8 voucher	115	\$265,384	94	\$234	112	-18
ar250	Layfayette Co.	44	\$116,642	42	\$230	39	3
ar225	Lee Co. sec. 8 voucher	291	\$934,679	291	\$238	280	11
ar020	Little River sec. 8 voucher	50	\$132,239	38	\$293	45	-7

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ar004	Little Rock	2,025	\$9,935,795	1,768	\$468	1,748	20
ar033	Logan County sec. 8 voucher	123	\$313,428	101	\$262	101	0
ar041	Lonoke Co. sec. 8 voucher	243	\$779,170	205	\$317	206	-1
ar228	Magnolia	83	\$266,271	83	\$256	80	3
ar094	Malvern sec. 8 voucher	124	\$341,609	124	\$202	115	9
ar257	McGehee sec. 8 voucher	193	\$643,496	193	\$265	179	14
ar213	Mississippi Co. sec. 8 voucher	450	\$1,460,398	450	\$260	401	49
ar002	North Little Rock	1,192	\$5,668,146	1,167	\$405	1,115	52
ar010	NW Regional HA	676	\$1,976,406	653	\$252	643	10
ar121	Paragould sec. 8 voucher	416	\$1,326,079	370	\$301	370	0
ar223	Phillips Co. sec. 8 voucher	403	\$1,402,824	381	\$307	372	9
ar045	Pike Co. sec. 8 voucher	62	\$174,343	59	\$247	60	-1
ar017	Pine Bluff Sec. 8 Voucher	789	\$2,821,466	725	\$324	683	42
ar117	Polk Co. sec. 8 voucher	125	\$272,441	119	\$191	94	25
ar211	Pope Co. Public Facilities Board	202	\$715,200	179	\$332	187	-8
ar037	Prescott sec. 8 voucher	78	\$189,860	59	\$270	58	1
ar252	Pulaski Co. sec. 8 voucher	301	\$1,554,006	298	\$434	265	33
ar066	Russellville sec. 8 voucher	150	\$439,473	133	\$275	124	9
ar240	Scott Co. sec. 8 voucher	107	\$263,751	84	\$264	84	0
ar035	Searcy HA	130	\$377,932	113	\$282	114	-1
ar238	Sevier Co.	70	\$184,092	70	\$205	65	5
ar163	Siloam Springs	493	\$1,800,102	489	\$307	410	79
ar104	Springdale sec. 8 voucher	141	\$452,120	140	\$268	138	2
ar224	St. Francis Co. sec. 8 voucher	588	\$1,903,873	532	\$303	538	-6
ar042	Star City sec. 8 voucher	55	\$158,408	44	\$304	44	0
ar166	Stuttgart sec. 8 voucher	316	\$1,214,099	314	\$322	301	13
ar015	Texarkana HA	311	\$1,184,352	305	\$323	295	10
ar034	Trumann City Vouchers	144	\$512,821	144	\$289	142	2
ar232	Trumann Poinsett Co. Vouchers	111	\$369,610	111	\$272	108	3
ar222	Union Co.	393	\$1,125,775	378	\$248	348	30
ar201	Walnut Ridge sec. 8 voucher	157	\$396,043	157	\$210	154	3
ar082	Warren HA	67	\$195,349	60	\$273	67	-7
ar024	West Memphis sec. 8 voucher	523	\$2,214,474	516	\$358	370	146
ar197	White River Regional HA	1,651	\$4,965,860	1,651	\$232	1,648	3
ar054	Wilson sec. 8 voucher	40	\$132,700	39	\$285	39	0

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ar039	Wynne HA	232	\$636,086	222	\$239	210	12
ar265	Yell Co. Public Facilities Board	87	\$267,310	80	\$280	80	0
az028	Chandler Housing & Redevelopment Division	480	\$3,754,511	480	\$620	476	4
az034	Cochise Co. sec. 8 voucher	404	\$1,511,432	349	\$361	360	-11
az037	Douglas HA	193	\$718,900	177	\$339	177	0
az021	Eloy sec. 8 voucher	159	\$814,774	158	\$430	143	15
az006	Flagstaff HA	333	\$2,452,905	333	\$613	311	22
az045	Gila Co. HA	53	\$261,913	47	\$460	51	-4
az003	Glendale sec. 8 voucher	1,054	\$7,003,253	1,003	\$582	1,003	0
az009	Maricopa Co. Housing Dept.	1,478	\$11,136,785	1,478	\$628	1,290	188
az005	Mesa Housing Services	1,422	\$8,471,361	1,422	\$461	1,376	46
az043	Mohave Co. HA	234	\$1,216,458	229	\$443	223	6
az023	Nogales sec. 8 voucher	192	\$700,062	171	\$346	175	-4
az038	Peoria HA	82	\$538,736	73	\$615	68	5
az001	Phoenix Housing Dept.	5,245	\$36,052,936	5,245	\$555	5,184	61
az033	Pima Co.	752	\$4,111,263	708	\$484	655	53
az010	Pinal Co. Division of Housing	584	\$2,892,281	552	\$437	501	51
az032	Scottsdale	707	\$4,998,937	667	\$624	654	13
az025	South Tucson sec. 8 voucher	132	\$739,130	97	\$633	68	29
az901	State of AZ PHA	59	\$234,841	55	\$356	49	6
az031	Tempe HA	1,082	\$8,220,053	1,082	\$591	1,019	63
az004	Tucson Community Services	3,824	\$21,241,360	3,743	\$477	3,547	196
az041	Williams HA	47	\$269,473	41	\$542	40	1
az008	Winslow HA	128	\$648,733	119	\$453	112	7
az013	Yuma Co. Housing Dept.	401	\$1,903,877	374	\$424	395	-21
az035	Yuma, City	1,122	\$6,517,136	1,122	\$471	1,089	33
ca062	Alameda City	1,675	\$19,535,781	1,675	\$909	1,563	112
ca067	Alameda Co.	5,456	\$67,904,382	5,108	\$1,108	5,425	-317
ca104	Anaheim HA	6,108	\$52,471,041	6,108	\$711	5,575	533
ca120	Baldwin Park	882	\$5,720,542	694	\$687	658	36
ca041	Benicia HA	372	\$3,563,949	372	\$756	369	3
ca058	Berkeley HA	1,841	\$21,910,297	1,768	\$1,032	1,743	25
ca105	Burbank	1,014	\$7,309,253	1,014	\$590	1,000	14
ca043	Butte Co.	1,864	\$9,016,870	1,849	\$406	1,665	184
ca039	Calexico	244	\$1,078,988	239	\$376	222	17

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ca912	California Dept. of Hsg and Com	47	\$157,387	44	\$297	42	2
ca913	California Dept. of Hsg and Comm. Dev.	738	\$3,164,672	697	\$378	695	2
ca077	Carlsbad Housing Agency	703	\$5,592,061	651	\$716	638	13
ca116	Community Development Commission	1,044	\$7,388,017	1,044	\$585	1,026	18
ca071	Compton HA	803	\$5,729,601	803	\$473	788	15
ca048	Consolidated Area HA of Sutter Co.	803	\$3,839,816	803	\$334	697	106
ca011	Contra Costa Co.	6,781	\$75,078,269	6,467	\$967	6,391	76
ca061	Crescent City HA	590	\$2,283,298	547	\$348	552	-5
ca110	Culver City	384	\$2,344,303	298	\$656	272	26
ca151	El Dorado Co. Dept. of Community Services	374	\$2,354,552	358	\$548	354	4
ca155	Encinitas	136	\$1,031,267	136	\$605	130	6
ca065	Fairfield HA	851	\$7,155,271	851	\$656	812	39
ca028	Fresno Co.	5,587	\$28,679,013	5,432	\$441	5,432	0
ca006	Fresno, City	6,244	\$32,678,605	6,244	\$433	6,124	120
ca102	Garden Grove	2,337	\$22,338,488	2,337	\$778	2,289	48
ca114	Glendale	1,592	\$11,634,903	1,592	\$607	1,563	29
ca136	Hawaiian Gardens HA	132	\$926,859	117	\$660	100	17
ca126	Hawthorne	684	\$4,598,551	610	\$628	678	-68
ca122	Hollister	319	\$2,676,022	319	\$670	296	23
ca086	Humboldt Co. HA	1,222	\$5,098,786	1,047	\$406	1,144	-97
ca143	Imperial Valley HA	1,577	\$6,340,542	1,577	\$328	1,486	91
ca082	Inglewood HA	1,002	\$7,374,188	877	\$701	975	-98
ca008	Kern Co.	3,282	\$12,904,748	3,282	\$324	3,033	249
ca053	Kings Co. HA	688	\$3,137,740	688	\$370	643	45
ca144	Lake Co. Housing Commission	224	\$1,073,779	220	\$407	216	4
ca135	Lakewood HA	215	\$1,662,961	188	\$737	194	-6
ca152	Lassen Co.	113	\$389,797	88	\$371	99	-11
ca138	Lawndale HA	212	\$1,596,213	180	\$739	207	-27
ca074	Livermore	719	\$7,176,175	719	\$825	713	6
ca139	Lomita HA	86	\$442,128	58	\$635	64	-6
ca068	Long Beach HA	6,244	\$59,321,212	6,244	\$764	6,116	128
ca002	Los Angeles Co. HA	20,485	\$187,747,980	20,485	\$717	19,626	859
ca004	Los Angeles, City	44,449	\$380,722,212	44,449	\$688	43,863	586
ca069	Madera	725	\$3,733,833	692	\$450	688	4
ca052	Marin HA	2,109	\$24,470,816	2,033	\$1,003	2,025	8

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ca127	Mariposa Co.	165	\$597,397	141	\$353	129	12
ca084	Mendocino Co. CDC	925	\$4,229,810	811	\$444	766	45
ca023	Merced	2,705	\$14,243,927	2,705	\$428	2,609	96
ca033	Monterey Co.	3,818	\$27,396,417	3,716	\$614	3,673	43
ca073	Napa HA	1,188	\$8,394,369	1,188	\$585	1,153	35
ca022	Needles	20	\$83,286	20	\$322	19	1
ca146	Nevada Co. HA	294	\$1,242,508	253	\$410	264	-11
ca118	Norwalk HA	705	\$5,135,113	692	\$619	686	6
ca003	Oakland HA	10,774	\$136,122,231	10,634	\$1,067	10,258	376
ca132	Oceanside CDC	1,313	\$12,428,976	1,313	\$760	1,211	102
ca094	Orange Co.	9,619	\$91,402,542	9,593	\$794	9,523	70
ca031	Oxnard HA	1,649	\$13,698,545	1,649	\$693	1,612	37
ca137	Paramount HA	203	\$1,489,655	200	\$620	202	-2
ca079	Pasadena CDC	1,315	\$10,149,575	1,315	\$628	1,259	56
ca117	Pico Rivera Housing Assistance Agency	517	\$4,202,379	504	\$695	461	43
ca060	Pittsburg	948	\$10,469,975	948	\$824	927	21
ca149	Placer Co. HA	276	\$1,524,316	250	\$507	237	13
ca070	Plumas Co. CDC	335	\$1,356,046	311	\$364	317	-6
ca123	Pomona	894	\$8,630,709	894	\$769	868	26
ca032	Port Hueneme	279	\$2,340,231	264	\$739	249	15
ca106	Redding	1,504	\$5,982,859	1,408	\$354	1,338	70
ca103	Redondo Beach HA	593	\$4,540,264	565	\$669	539	26
ca010	Richmond HA	1,750	\$17,684,525	1,725	\$854	1,577	148
ca027	Riverside Co.	8,361	\$52,231,004	8,302	\$534	7,903	399
ca128	Roseville HA	562	\$3,232,697	542	\$497	510	32
ca007	Sacramento County	11,217	\$93,421,839	11,217	\$643	10,838	379
ca019	San Bernardino Co.	8,244	\$52,249,892	8,244	\$520	8,146	98
ca035	San Buenaventura	1,189	\$10,836,726	1,167	\$774	1,141	26
ca108	San Diego Co.	10,404	\$92,565,023	10,404	\$673	10,166	238
ca063	San Diego Housing Commission	12,184	\$114,545,451	10,967	\$929	11,444	-477
ca001	San Francisco HA	7,409	\$115,000,597	7,409	\$1,272	7,207	202
ca024	San Joaquin Co.	4,871	\$30,513,121	4,871	\$475	4,425	446
ca056	San Jose	6,429	\$85,686,944	6,429	\$1,089	6,403	26
ca141	San Juan Bautista	25	\$197,162	25	\$641	12	13
ca064	San Luis Obispo	1,825	\$13,281,492	1,785	\$620	1,758	27

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ca014	San Mateo Co.	4,023	\$55,480,151	3,904	\$1,184	3,994	-90
ca093	Santa Ana	2,558	\$22,981,163	2,558	\$746	2,484	74
ca076	Santa Barbara City HA	1,955	\$17,499,562	1,909	\$806	1,857	52
ca021	Santa Barbara Co.	3,396	\$29,156,163	3,396	\$714	3,333	63
ca059	Santa Clara Co.	9,465	\$126,801,352	9,465	\$1,091	9,446	19
ca072	Santa Cruz Co.	3,636	\$38,773,732	3,636	\$878	3,655	-19
ca147	Santa Fe Springs HA	224	\$1,517,354	174	\$727	194	-20
ca111	Santa Monica sec. 8 voucher	1,092	\$12,745,438	1,092	\$941	1,076	16
ca075	Santa Paula	577	\$4,765,649	569	\$698	570	-1
ca088	Santa Rosa HA	1,391	\$11,581,664	1,391	\$641	1,415	-24
ca096	Shasta Co. HA	644	\$2,662,754	644	\$326	539	105
ca131	Solano Co. HA	250	\$1,894,732	250	\$604	230	20
ca085	Sonoma Co. CDC	2,722	\$20,473,609	2,722	\$625	2,674	48
ca119	South Gate HA	654	\$4,219,841	581	\$605	553	28
ca026	Stanislaus Co.	3,995	\$21,617,880	3,995	\$390	3,894	101
ca066	Suisun City HA	318	\$2,492,051	318	\$590	318	0
ca154	Tehama Co.	144	\$441,460	137	\$273	137	0
ca121	Torrance	690	\$4,808,898	668	\$600	627	41
ca030	Tulare Co. HA	2,825	\$15,010,360	2,825	\$421	2,816	9
ca009	Upland HA	624	\$3,776,873	624	\$497	602	22
ca125	Vacaville HA	1,143	\$9,210,630	1,090	\$704	1,025	65
ca055	Vallejo	2,266	\$25,736,299	2,266	\$871	2,196	70
ca092	Ventura Co.	2,532	\$23,151,335	2,468	\$782	2,365	103
ca145	West Hollywood HA	97	\$822,682	96	\$711	96	0
ca044	Yolo Co. HA	1,466	\$7,440,850	1,351	\$459	1,239	112
ca107	Yuba Co. HA	449	\$1,697,707	449	\$279	345	104
co058	Adams Co. HA	1,330	\$10,248,955	1,330	\$634	1,311	19
co081	Archuleta Co. HA	59	\$406,944	59	\$547	53	6
co050	Arvada HA	508	\$3,568,815	494	\$602	501	-7
co052	Aurora HA	1,090	\$9,958,794	1,090	\$743	1,089	1
co016	Boulder	556	\$3,937,776	539	\$608	538	1
co061	Boulder Co. HA	641	\$5,067,612	607	\$696	604	3
co019	Brighton HA	212	\$1,393,559	204	\$569	197	7
co043	Center HA	25	\$74,826	20	\$317	20	0
co901	CO Dept. of Human Services	3,054	\$15,230,024	3,037	\$418	2,997	40

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
co911	CO Division of Housing	2,493	\$16,262,009	2,419	\$560	2,321	98
co028	Colorado Springs	2,065	\$14,627,761	2,065	\$566	2,076	-11
co040	Delta HA	226	\$828,259	225	\$307	225	0
co001	Denver City and Co.	5,382	\$46,636,771	5,191	\$771	5,177	14
co105	El Paso Co. HA	103	\$600,870	100	\$503	97	3
co048	Engelwood HA	393	\$3,296,154	393	\$649	369	24
co041	Fort Collins HA	744	\$4,870,770	719	\$565	707	12
co071	Fountain HA	238	\$1,482,208	231	\$535	219	12
co095	Garfield Co. HA	434	\$2,040,781	401	\$425	384	17
co051	Grand Junction HA	880	\$4,083,750	880	\$374	881	-1
co035	Greeley	446	\$2,501,461	430	\$484	417	13
co072	Jefferson Co.	1,467	\$10,674,756	1,465	\$607	1,417	48
co031	La Junta HA	102	\$361,487	96	\$315	93	3
co049	Lakewood HA	1,251	\$10,070,687	1,251	\$670	1,213	38
co065	Lamar	107	\$457,806	104	\$369	89	15
co103	Larimer Co. HA	35	\$234,610	30	\$661	35	-5
co024	Las Animas HA	124	\$515,678	107	\$403	104	3
co036	Littleton HA	288	\$1,761,309	288	\$510	257	31
co070	Longmont HA	509	\$3,702,506	487	\$634	515	-28
co059	Louisville HA	27	\$191,253	26	\$629	26	0
co034	Loveland	468	\$2,809,928	418	\$560	417	1
co100	Manitou Springs	57	\$319,100	52	\$514	54	-2
co079	Montezuma Co. HA	262	\$1,092,864	241	\$377	231	10
co087	Montrose Co. HA	178	\$806,188	174	\$386	172	2
co101	Otero Co. HA	46	\$150,737	41	\$305	41	0
co002	Pueblo	1,456	\$7,657,553	1,456	\$438	1,384	72
co045	Rocky Ford HA	50	\$220,354	50	\$330	49	1
co057	Sheridan HA	177	\$1,336,845	177	\$592	169	8
co005	Trinidad HA	83	\$363,913	83	\$348	65	18
co090	Weld Co.	427	\$2,593,399	412	\$525	412	0
ct015	Ansonia HA	647	\$4,472,371	633	\$592	637	-4
ct001	Bridgeport HA	2,617	\$21,716,497	2,617	\$648	2,563	54
ct023	Bristol HA	674	\$4,480,434	674	\$552	601	73
ct068	Canton HA	14	\$128,193	14	\$673	14	0
ct020	Danbury	802	\$6,620,426	696	\$793	684	12

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ct901	Dept. of Social Services	5,565	\$46,153,685	5,214	\$738	5,436	-222
ct017	Derby	278	\$2,144,336	278	\$641	248	30
ct013	East Hartford HA	312	\$2,214,351	312	\$592	308	4
ct063	East Haven HA	46	\$365,085	46	\$655	43	3
ct008	Enfield	132	\$983,726	132	\$564	130	2
ct052	Fairfield HA	171	\$966,506	116	\$697	111	5
ct041	Farmington HA	90	\$712,949	90	\$645	90	0
ct040	Glastonbury	33	\$108,173	30	\$299	26	4
ct019	Greenwich	343	\$4,593,791	337	\$1,135	322	15
ct042	Hamden Housing	305	\$2,107,718	303	\$580	297	6
ct051	Hartford	4,701	\$33,344,817	4,507	\$617	4,217	290
ct003	Hartford HA	2,018	\$14,391,015	1,911	\$633	1,945	-34
ct061	Killingly HA	85	\$472,807	84	\$467	77	7
ct026	Manchester HA	458	\$3,072,059	424	\$604	411	13
ct038	Mansfield	149	\$822,482	149	\$461	133	16
ct011	Meriden HA	656	\$5,011,622	593	\$704	595	-2
ct009	Middletown	783	\$4,454,922	688	\$540	703	-15
ct030	Milford HA	266	\$1,925,809	238	\$675	206	32
ct047	Naugatuck	249	\$1,868,606	249	\$616	230	19
ct005	New Britain	801	\$4,664,418	686	\$567	665	21
ct004	New Haven HA	4,379	\$40,107,969	4,379	\$751	3,427	952
ct022	New London	114	\$863,828	114	\$562	102	12
ct049	Newington HA	30	\$212,029	30	\$530	28	2
ct002	Norwalk HA	680	\$8,784,456	680	\$1,070	667	13
ct018	Norwich HA	499	\$3,441,754	495	\$580	483	12
ct058	Plainfield HA	197	\$1,079,138	170	\$528	182	-12
ct036	Portland	86	\$600,521	81	\$620	79	2
ct024	Putnam	34	\$181,017	33	\$459	33	0
ct033	South Windsor HA	35	\$236,617	30	\$651	30	0
ct007	Stamford HA	1,121	\$17,499,379	1,121	\$1,088	969	152
ct027	Stratford HA	280	\$2,146,558	268	\$667	264	4
ct031	Torrington	269	\$1,271,756	245	\$433	217	28
ct028	Vernon	437	\$2,135,259	323	\$551	320	3
ct067	Wallingford Housing	114	\$654,862	110	\$494	109	1
ct006	Waterbury HA	2,053	\$13,249,597	2,000	\$552	1,842	158

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ct039	West Hartford HA	575	\$3,205,708	506	\$528	526	-20
ct029	West Haven	1,009	\$7,793,803	983	\$665	983	0
ct053	Wethersfield HA	46	\$282,055	45	\$518	43	2
ct010	Willimantic	409	\$2,515,112	409	\$502	397	12
ct025	Winchester	238	\$1,160,450	222	\$435	215	7
ct048	Windsor	158	\$1,080,089	158	\$546	156	2
ct032	Windsor Locks	127	\$919,507	122	\$630	126	-4
dc001	DCHA	11,037	\$114,121,181	10,203	\$934	10,964	-761
de004	Delaware State HA	902	\$5,838,661	902	\$514	902	0
de002	Dover HA	190	\$1,027,387	174	\$493	172	2
de005	New Castle Co.	1,725	\$12,979,089	1,725	\$600	1,665	60
de003	Newark HA	200	\$641,474	108	\$494	108	0
de001	Wilmington HA	1,427	\$9,579,551	1,366	\$584	1,283	83
fl070	Alachua Co. HA	397	\$1,936,918	389	\$415	377	12
fl012	Avon Park HA	45	\$109,515	22	\$456	26	-4
fl102	Baker Co. Housing Assistance	147	\$554,658	133	\$347	129	4
fl026	Bartow HA	55	\$229,850	55	\$327	52	3
fl111	Board of Co. Commissioners of Sarasota Cc	434	\$2,833,995	434	\$522	418	16
fl119	Boca Raton HA	571	\$4,064,820	571	\$572	571	0
fl023	Bradenton	199	\$1,280,563	199	\$408	198	1
fl101	Bradenton Housing Agency	519	\$2,532,638	469	\$450	457	12
fl020	Brevard Co.	1,912	\$9,543,162	1,657	\$487	1,675	-18
fl079	Broward Co. HA	5,017	\$45,123,474	4,904	\$767	4,691	213
fl147	Citrus Co. Housing Services	101	\$395,189	98	\$337	98	0
fl075	Clearwater HA	1,265	\$7,039,578	1,145	\$512	1,024	121
fl019	Cocoa	149	\$612,739	131	\$391	136	-5
fl141	Collier Co. HA	440	\$2,964,736	420	\$588	425	-5
fl046	Crestview HA	197	\$836,379	197	\$318	189	8
fl116	Dania Beach HA	499	\$3,828,307	499	\$637	485	14
fl007	Daytona Beach Housing	1,049	\$5,298,095	1,034	\$471	864	170
fl081	Deerfield Beach HA	482	\$3,285,061	446	\$614	468	-22
fl072	Deland HA	749	\$4,646,310	749	\$450	542	207
fl083	Delray Beach HA	1,105	\$6,424,069	1,038	\$643	883	155
fl037	Fernandina Beach HA	62	\$277,051	62	\$366	61	1
fl030	Flagler Co. HA	180	\$866,288	174	\$417	179	-5

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
fl010	Fort Lauderdale	2,038	\$17,530,785	2,038	\$663	1,962	76
fl091	Fort Myers CRA	1,530	\$7,650,208	1,530	\$402	1,495	35
fl041	Fort Pierce	795	\$3,661,998	628	\$486	656	-28
fl069	Fort Walton Beach HA	657	\$2,358,642	583	\$337	563	20
fl063	Gainesville HA	1,227	\$5,937,049	1,180	\$419	1,127	53
fl098	Green Cove Springs	148	\$653,899	140	\$390	140	0
fl107	Haines Co. Housing Assistance	92	\$338,200	92	\$297	89	3
fl123	Hendry Co.	43	\$228,319	42	\$453	38	4
fl137	Hernando Co. HA	285	\$1,639,871	285	\$460	269	16
fl066	Hialeah HA	4,305	\$26,985,700	3,766	\$597	3,485	281
fl089	Hillsborough Co. Community Improvement	1,993	\$12,250,369	1,708	\$598	1,874	-166
fl136	Hollywood Housing	597	\$4,544,618	594	\$644	574	20
fl202	Holmes County HA	194	\$598,022	194	\$249	189	5
fl068	Homestead HA	1,618	\$13,102,599	1,618	\$598	1,610	8
fl132	Indian River Co. HA	345	\$1,370,707	311	\$367	302	9
fl001	Jacksonville HA	6,517	\$37,248,687	6,285	\$499	6,075	210
fl140	Jefferson Co. Board of Co. Commissioners	129	\$623,632	127	\$408	126	1
fl013	Key West HA	254	\$1,795,303	251	\$597	238	13
fl106	Lake Co. Housing Agency	485	\$2,371,942	440	\$449	460	-20
fl071	Lake Wales HA	172	\$781,298	131	\$497	130	1
fl011	Lakeland HA	1,218	\$5,560,151	1,214	\$382	1,191	23
fl128	Lee Co. HA	211	\$870,551	211	\$335	186	25
fl049	Levy Co. HA	142	\$500,964	142	\$286	137	5
fl105	Manatee Co. HA	757	\$4,040,685	754	\$479	671	83
fl031	Marianna HA	117	\$377,144	117	\$254	113	4
fl145	Miami	118	\$2,433,705	118	\$913	132	-14
fl017	Miami Beach	2,508	\$17,645,607	2,508	\$556	2,384	124
fl005	Miami-Dade HA	13,935	\$118,717,212	13,935	\$701	13,522	413
fl053	Milton HA	292	\$1,367,354	292	\$378	276	16
fl144	Monroe Co. HA	200	\$1,465,988	200	\$587	186	14
fl022	New Smyrna Beach HA	253	\$901,494	235	\$320	244	-9
fl015	NW FL Regional HA	826	\$4,206,497	826	\$413	789	37
fl032	Ocala HA	1,111	\$4,943,531	1,109	\$382	1,054	55
fl093	Orange Co. sec. 8	1,173	\$7,967,380	1,171	\$567	1,100	71
fl004	Orlando HA	2,789	\$19,814,410	2,692	\$613	2,669	23

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
fl024	Ormond Beach HA	193	\$1,061,982	193	\$444	182	11
fl201	Osceola Co. HA	193	\$1,226,147	193	\$494	189	4
fl021	Pahokee HA, Inc.	36	\$226,846	32	\$588	34	-2
fl057	Palatka HA	295	\$1,062,810	295	\$267	269	26
fl080	Palm Beach Co. HA	2,595	\$18,688,678	2,436	\$639	2,365	71
fl018	Panama City HA	418	\$1,759,667	407	\$360	413	-6
fl104	Pasco Co. HA	1,424	\$8,399,913	1,424	\$477	1,304	120
fl092	Pensacola sec. 8	2,255	\$9,234,284	2,255	\$329	1,642	613
fl062	Pinellas Co. HA	2,737	\$16,169,205	2,573	\$526	2,573	0
fl034	Plant City HA	187	\$1,065,591	180	\$494	172	8
fl143	Polk Co. HA	110	\$474,230	97	\$406	97	0
fl028	Pompano Beach HA	829	\$6,230,569	742	\$699	758	-16
fl060	Punta Gorda HA	341	\$1,679,752	341	\$350	162	179
fl076	Riviera Beach	515	\$4,343,818	460	\$787	423	37
fl016	Sanford	90	\$671,684	83	\$680	79	4
fl008	Sarasota HA	764	\$5,113,532	763	\$558	735	28
fl033	Seminole Co. HA	334	\$1,971,593	325	\$505	315	10
fl035	Springfield	446	\$1,720,497	430	\$334	446	-16
fl002	St. Pete	2,610	\$16,656,698	2,398	\$579	2,103	295
fl045	Stuart	77	\$380,775	77	\$399	76	1
fl117	Sumter Co. HA	132	\$488,536	129	\$316	114	15
fl073	Tallahassee HA	1,807	\$11,550,484	1,670	\$583	1,670	0
fl003	Tampa HA	4,714	\$38,637,190	4,714	\$669	4,647	67
fl025	Titusville HA	392	\$1,691,304	373	\$385	373	0
fl109	Tricounty Community Council, Inc.	155	\$561,021	155	\$297	151	4
fl113	Volusia Co.	322	\$1,720,593	322	\$431	308	14
fl096	Wakulla Co.	250	\$797,826	163	\$408	158	5
fl110	Walton Co. Housing	364	\$1,551,734	364	\$351	343	21
fl009	West Palm Beach HA	2,015	\$14,868,605	1,979	\$628	1,865	114
fl139	Winter Haven HA	88	\$358,104	79	\$380	64	15
ga023	Albany HA	61	\$279,540	61	\$367	59	2
ga062	Americus	616	\$2,418,318	616	\$339	515	101
ga006	Atlanta Housing Authority	14,415	\$123,235,202	14,415	\$709	11,033	3,382
ga001	Augusta	3,328	\$19,279,614	3,328	\$462	3,141	187
ga009	Brunswick	750	\$3,570,798	748	\$398	720	28

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ga116	Carrollton HA	104	\$764,949	101	\$628	97	4
ga232	College Park	222	\$1,860,822	222	\$663	221	1
ga004	Columbus	1,981	\$9,149,060	1,915	\$398	1,921	-6
ga011	Decatur	449	\$3,837,782	449	\$676	438	11
ga237	DeKalb Co.	3,414	\$30,825,639	3,414	\$732	3,325	89
ga078	East Point HA	250	\$1,969,053	250	\$560	249	1
ga264	Fulton Co.	756	\$7,044,728	714	\$823	735	-21
ga901	GA Dept. of Community Affairs	16,003	\$88,665,969	15,323	\$482	15,070	253
ga228	Jonesboro HA	1,767	\$13,171,738	1,761	\$626	1,760	1
ga188	Lithonia	96	\$558,145	87	\$537	86	1
ga007	Macon	2,713	\$12,242,581	2,517	\$436	2,284	233
ga266	Marietta	642	\$5,861,990	642	\$748	610	32
ga010	Marietta HA	1,265	\$10,979,851	1,265	\$638	1,158	107
ga095	Newnan	68	\$567,801	68	\$661	67	1
ga285	NW GA HA	275	\$1,073,648	260	\$345	264	-4
ga002	Savannah	2,287	\$12,403,353	2,287	\$447	1,521	766
gq901	Guam Housing and Urban Renewal Authorit	2,515	\$30,247,162	2,515	\$891	2,347	168
hi002	HI Co. Housing Agency	1,796	\$9,997,719	1,663	\$501	1,698	-35
hi901	HI Housing and CDC	3,058	\$15,742,712	2,207	\$594	2,129	78
hi003	Honolulu City and Co.	4,291	\$31,151,868	4,106	\$632	3,916	190
hi005	Kauai Co.Housing Agency	1,345	\$6,149,686	720	\$711	661	59
hi004	Maui Co.	1,464	\$14,627,505	1,450	\$841	1,351	99
ia114	Albia Low Rent Housing Agency	96	\$228,538	82	\$231	72	10
ia089	Ames	222	\$937,162	208	\$375	171	37
ia124	Area XV Multi-Co. Housing Agency	374	\$1,119,624	359	\$260	331	28
ia123	Betterndorf HA	100	\$474,526	93	\$427	89	4
ia015	Burlington	277	\$959,806	272	\$294	260	12
ia024	Cedar Rapids HA	1,265	\$5,199,031	1,265	\$319	1,245	20
ia042	Centerville Housing Agency	98	\$277,361	98	\$214	96	2
ia131	Central IA Regional HA	769	\$2,574,049	719	\$298	692	27
ia002	Charles City Housing and Redevelopment A	163	\$490,836	153	\$267	150	3
ia098	Clinton HA	424	\$1,225,075	424	\$233	420	4
ia045	Davenport Housing Commission	660	\$2,274,612	457	\$415	485	-28
ia054	Decorah Low Rent Housing Agency	86	\$217,803	86	\$207	80	6
ia020	Des Moines Municipal Housing Agency	2,936	\$13,918,051	2,883	\$403	2,490	393

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ia087	Dubuque	1,038	\$3,657,862	1,038	\$251	946	92
ia126	Eastern IA Regional HA	883	\$2,766,577	812	\$284	843	-31
ia056	Emmetsburg Low Rent Housing Agency	99	\$185,663	99	\$155	98	1
ia038	Evansdale Municipal HA	126	\$502,303	126	\$323	105	21
ia107	Fort Dodge Housing Agency	617	\$1,965,169	617	\$242	604	13
ia047	Fort Madison HA	70	\$212,629	70	\$225	64	6
ia084	Grinnell Low Rent HA	166	\$541,514	161	\$280	156	5
ia022	Iowa City HA	1,213	\$5,873,780	1,203	\$407	1,188	15
ia030	Keokuk HA	171	\$523,146	163	\$267	146	17
ia119	Knoxville Low Rent Housing Agency	263	\$778,985	238	\$277	238	0
ia113	Low Rent Housing Agency of Cedar Falls	326	\$1,426,621	324	\$366	262	62
ia125	Marshalltown Rent Assistance Program	449	\$1,332,457	446	\$249	423	23
ia108	Mason City	435	\$1,247,842	435	\$234	432	3
ia133	Mid IA Regional HA	329	\$868,276	329	\$214	305	24
ia023	Municipal Housing Agency	652	\$3,334,282	649	\$428	650	-1
ia049	Muscatine Municipal HA	376	\$1,395,685	358	\$325	294	64
ia121	New Hampton HA	80	\$200,520	80	\$203	57	23
ia127	North IA Regional HA	381	\$973,855	356	\$228	304	52
ia132	Northland Regional HA	233	\$647,780	229	\$236	229	0
ia129	NW IA Regional HA	522	\$1,302,812	522	\$203	523	-1
ia100	Oskaloosa Municipal Housing Agency	230	\$676,272	230	\$239	223	7
ia004	Ottumwa sec. 8 voucher	237	\$636,693	222	\$239	217	5
ia122	Region XII Regional HA	928	\$2,485,216	928	\$219	918	10
ia128	SE IA Regional HA	252	\$740,029	238	\$259	239	-1
ia018	Sioux City HA	1,169	\$3,926,195	1,169	\$271	1,126	43
ia136	Siouxland Regional HA	353	\$856,430	317	\$228	327	-10
ia117	Southern IA Regional HA	1,056	\$3,215,868	1,048	\$263	973	75
ia057	Spirit Lake Low Rent Housing Agency	142	\$213,140	80	\$222	120	-40
ia130	Upper Explorerland Regional HA	391	\$1,104,520	391	\$216	387	4
ia120	Warren Co. HA	171	\$684,196	164	\$348	150	14
ia050	Waterloo HA	1,040	\$4,410,024	965	\$381	1,003	-38
id021	Ada Co. HA	762	\$3,993,691	762	\$437	708	54
id013	Boise City HA	1,142	\$6,016,434	1,105	\$454	1,078	27
id901	ID Housing and Finance Assoc.	3,072	\$11,625,963	2,815	\$344	2,945	-130
id005	Pocatello	560	\$2,318,538	522	\$370	547	-25

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
id016	Southwestern ID Coopoerative HA	852	\$4,225,305	852	\$403	831	21
il090	Aurora HA	800	\$7,475,570	800	\$748	792	8
il051	Bloomington	430	\$2,234,395	424	\$439	415	9
il122	Boone Co. HA	238	\$1,052,674	223	\$393	217	6
il086	Bureau Co. Housing	71	\$261,534	66	\$332	74	-8
il006	Champaign Co.	1,376	\$8,750,959	1,368	\$533	1,135	233
il002	Chicago HA	46,815	\$421,223,501	38,797	\$912	34,398	4,399
il038	Christian Co.	82	\$217,253	82	\$197	71	11
il130	Cicero HA	230	\$1,096,254	201	\$455	211	-10
il069	Clark Co. HA	30	\$63,941	23	\$228	17	6
il025	Cook Co.	12,719	\$97,627,988	12,656	\$701	10,913	1,743
il070	Cumberland Co.	15	\$27,066	11	\$212	11	0
il011	Danville HA	620	\$2,214,723	620	\$276	543	77
il012	Decatur HA	1,046	\$4,637,802	979	\$395	932	47
il089	Dekalb Co.	539	\$3,405,345	539	\$516	545	-6
il101	Dupage Co. HA	2,571	\$22,830,893	2,422	\$786	2,327	95
il124	East Peoria	195	\$799,037	195	\$334	185	10
il001	East St. Louis HA	583	\$3,758,444	578	\$542	558	20
il120	Edgar Co.	75	\$209,497	62	\$283	60	2
il092	Elgin	913	\$8,271,586	913	\$711	821	92
il034	Ford Co. HA	50	\$82,839	28	\$243	23	5
il061	Franklin Co.	65	\$186,451	54	\$289	53	1
il029	Freeport HA	62	\$228,575	61	\$311	62	-1
il084	Fulton Co.	260	\$705,122	231	\$254	213	18
il115	Henderson Co. HA	58	\$119,089	51	\$194	39	12
il009	Henry Co.	176	\$530,480	157	\$283	157	0
il911	IL Dept. Commerce and Community Affairs	390	\$725,426	221	\$274	219	2
il053	Jackson Co. HA	557	\$2,046,997	503	\$339	503	0
il059	Jefferson Co. HA	99	\$299,061	89	\$280	97	-8
il074	Jersey Co.	195	\$655,157	171	\$318	165	6
il082	Jo Daviess Co.	41	\$97,486	36	\$230	36	0
il024	Joliet	1,039	\$8,246,786	1,039	\$661	950	89
il039	Kankakee Co. HA	708	\$2,582,970	547	\$394	400	147
il137	Kendall Co. HA	160	\$1,206,109	160	\$619	142	18
il085	Knox Co. HA	280	\$1,067,141	272	\$326	229	43

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
il056	Lake Co. HA	2,603	\$20,349,277	2,531	\$670	2,526	5
il014	LaSalle Co.	536	\$2,299,372	536	\$337	510	26
il035	Lee Co. HA	42	\$123,150	33	\$316	36	-3
il094	Livingston Co.	73	\$274,528	63	\$362	60	3
il040	Logan Co. HA	62	\$196,212	62	\$260	56	6
il015	Madison Co. HA	916	\$4,687,175	819	\$477	790	29
il126	Marion	120	\$400,988	115	\$290	104	11
il057	Marion Co. HA	277	\$980,614	277	\$289	277	0
il042	Mason Co. HA	150	\$467,141	150	\$242	128	22
il054	Maywood HA	448	\$3,845,052	447	\$717	448	-1
il076	McDonough Co.	125	\$388,655	109	\$297	105	4
il116	McHenry Co. HA	947	\$6,638,332	880	\$629	868	12
il117	McLean Co.	220	\$860,573	201	\$356	201	0
il028	Menard Co. HA	112	\$396,181	112	\$332	87	25
il131	Mercer Co.	35	\$85,679	30	\$237	28	2
il020	Moline HA	234	\$1,072,247	229	\$390	226	3
il037	Montgomery Co.	89	\$248,979	76	\$272	80	-4
il079	Morgan Co. HA	170	\$527,588	159	\$308	160	-1
il123	Mt. Vernon	64	\$214,322	63	\$287	63	0
il107	North Chicago	471	\$3,534,702	455	\$647	409	46
il103	Oak Park Housing	428	\$3,202,411	417	\$640	402	15
il095	Ogle Co. HA	141	\$561,236	120	\$395	120	0
il136	Park Forrest sec. 8 voucher	177	\$1,355,518	175	\$645	162	13
il003	Peoria HA	1,942	\$9,839,826	1,813	\$453	1,559	254
il016	Quincy HA	145	\$785,251	145	\$318	150	-5
il052	Randolph Co.	50	\$109,037	44	\$208	48	-4
il096	Richland Co. HA	80	\$252,795	76	\$276	69	7
il018	Rock Island	230	\$1,050,548	207	\$422	189	18
il010	Rock Island Co. GMAHA	337	\$1,569,324	324	\$403	310	14
il022	Rockford	1,504	\$8,426,555	1,504	\$453	1,453	51
il043	Saline Co. HA	128	\$390,763	128	\$253	124	4
il087	Shelby Co. HA	30	\$57,733	27	\$177	26	1
il004	Springfield HA	1,823	\$8,536,109	1,736	\$410	1,627	109
il030	St. Clair Co. HA	1,909	\$8,348,976	1,707	\$408	1,852	-145
il036	Vermilion Co.	108	\$352,778	91	\$322	84	7

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
il091	Warren Co. HA	100	\$219,840	72	\$258	73	-1
il026	Waukegan HA	594	\$4,637,140	594	\$559	578	16
il088	Wayne Co. HA	70	\$100,968	57	\$149	63	-6
il032	Whiteside Co.	285	\$1,024,371	280	\$305	255	25
il050	Williamson Co. HA	163	\$627,243	151	\$346	142	9
il083	Winnebago Co. HA	367	\$2,092,063	367	\$444	328	39
il104	Woodford Co. HA	240	\$1,102,088	240	\$375	214	26
in006	Anderson HA	1,153	\$5,604,944	1,112	\$420	1,071	41
in031	Bedford HA	161	\$499,393	111	\$415	111	0
in032	Bloomfield Housing	50	\$151,948	50	\$241	45	5
in022	Bloomington HA	1,284	\$7,162,255	1,213	\$492	1,146	67
in035	Brazil HA	115	\$328,735	81	\$339	98	-17
in043	Cannelton HA	179	\$543,646	161	\$282	144	17
in025	Charleston HA	70	\$245,457	64	\$324	65	-1
in058	Columbus	579	\$2,395,654	550	\$363	555	-5
in047	Crawfordsville	397	\$1,654,097	397	\$335	397	0
in062	Decatur	178	\$575,369	178	\$267	168	10
in004	Delaware Co. HA	256	\$1,058,568	256	\$342	246	10
in029	East Chicago	636	\$3,271,651	542	\$503	564	-22
in026	Elkhart HA	633	\$3,102,665	621	\$417	628	-7
in079	Elwood HA	301	\$1,232,178	289	\$355	281	8
in016	Evansville HA	1,906	\$8,391,373	1,906	\$342	1,901	5
in073	Fayette Co. HA	376	\$1,141,150	376	\$247	300	76
in003	Fort Wayne HA	2,870	\$14,936,658	2,865	\$434	2,556	309
in070	Franklin Co. PHA	100	\$264,320	82	\$270	82	0
in069	Fulton Co. HA	175	\$554,461	159	\$290	157	2
in011	Gary HA	1,408	\$9,042,977	1,408	\$515	1,201	207
in101	Goshen HA	320	\$1,875,208	320	\$490	320	0
in094	Greencastle HA	184	\$558,408	174	\$267	170	4
in078	Greensburg HA	115	\$420,040	97	\$359	104	-7
in010	Hammond HA	639	\$3,931,836	629	\$521	625	4
in901	Indiana Family & Soc. Svc. Adm.	4,491	\$18,368,237	3,802	\$403	3,560	242
in017	Indianapolis HA	7,791	\$40,260,472	6,869	\$488	6,456	413
in077	Jasonville HA	50	\$131,303	45	\$241	37	8
in023	Jeffersonville	382	\$1,515,566	376	\$336	368	8

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
in036	Kendallville HA	15	\$49,956	12	\$350	15	-3
in067	Knox Co. HA	334	\$1,066,369	332	\$268	328	4
in007	Kokomo	674	\$2,798,069	570	\$409	585	-15
in071	Lafayette HA	1,099	\$5,038,061	1,099	\$366	1,080	19
in055	Linton HA	219	\$528,422	193	\$228	193	0
in092	Logansport HA	283	\$850,499	271	\$262	257	14
in041	Marion	421	\$1,657,703	401	\$344	430	-29
in103	Marshall Co.	171	\$608,095	165	\$308	154	11
in019	Michigan City HA	261	\$1,190,095	261	\$365	246	15
in020	Mishawaka HA	307	\$1,335,464	298	\$373	294	4
in037	Mt. Vernon	203	\$699,633	174	\$334	145	29
in005	Muncie HA	842	\$3,904,758	811	\$401	800	11
in012	New Albany	408	\$2,155,661	407	\$442	407	0
in050	New Castle	274	\$990,317	263	\$314	259	4
in080	Noblesville HA	185	\$912,763	177	\$430	178	-1
in091	Peru HA	212	\$738,012	193	\$318	203	-10
in084	Portland HA	50	\$163,396	46	\$295	49	-3
in009	Richmond	273	\$1,023,521	253	\$337	267	-14
in048	Rockville HA	133	\$457,811	130	\$293	130	0
in083	Sellersburg HA	55	\$227,284	52	\$365	50	2
in056	Seymour	158	\$539,738	157	\$287	145	12
in015	South Bend	2,124	\$11,332,005	2,034	\$464	1,967	67
in100	St. Joseph HA	224	\$831,614	208	\$333	211	-3
in034	Sullivan	50	\$149,945	49	\$256	45	4
in018	Tell City HA	67	\$184,675	52	\$295	56	-4
in021	Terre Haute	916	\$3,256,555	825	\$329	832	-7
in086	Union City HA	161	\$528,640	161	\$264	122	39
in002	Vincennes HA	372	\$1,343,159	340	\$329	331	9
in060	Warsaw HA	175	\$608,189	175	\$285	166	9
ks017	Atchison HA	32	\$94,488	25	\$314	28	-3
ks168	Brown Co. PHA	177	\$470,785	156	\$252	141	15
ks062	Chanute HA	25	\$67,625	20	\$281	16	4
ks006	Dodge City sec. 8 voucher	134	\$509,916	121	\$350	125	-4
ks159	Eckan sec. 8 voucher	499	\$1,484,353	442	\$280	399	43
ks170	Ellis Co. HA	171	\$388,722	140	\$232	138	2

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ks165	Ford Co. HA	367	\$1,265,259	367	\$274	366	1
ks041	Great Bend HA	80	\$172,836	80	\$181	60	20
ks091	Hays HA	66	\$171,700	64	\$224	63	1
ks163	Hutchinson HA	222	\$527,977	222	\$172	215	7
ks162	Johnson Co. HA	1,367	\$8,301,513	1,367	\$501	1,321	46
ks105	Junction City sec. 8 voucher	71	\$223,747	71	\$256	63	8
ks001	Kansas City HA	1,410	\$8,197,340	1,390	\$491	905	485
ks053	Lawrence/Douglas Co. HA	591	\$3,426,656	591	\$415	598	-7
ks068	Leavenworth sec. 8 voucher	339	\$1,354,502	271	\$416	316	-45
ks063	Manhattan HA	197	\$776,584	192	\$344	192	0
ks073	Newton sec. 8 voucher	92	\$283,454	88	\$267	54	34
ks043	Olathe HA Voucher Program	322	\$1,617,561	317	\$425	319	-2
ks149	Pittsburg sec. 8 voucher	429	\$1,226,260	391	\$261	389	2
ks167	Riley Co. HA	330	\$1,017,161	273	\$311	134	139
ks038	Salina HA	311	\$1,034,538	311	\$259	309	2
ks169	Sedgwick Co. HA	342	\$1,245,814	328	\$317	301	27
ks161	SEK-CAP Inc.	332	\$1,015,694	320	\$264	303	17
ks166	So. Central Area Agency sec. 8 voucher	318	\$781,213	318	\$186	279	39
ks002	Topeka HA	1,073	\$3,962,094	1,024	\$323	1,016	8
ks004	Wichita HA	2,409	\$11,292,730	2,149	\$438	2,281	-132
ky161	Appalachian Foothills HA	780	\$2,918,329	754	\$323	719	35
ky142	Ashland CDA PHA	616	\$2,421,425	616	\$308	593	23
ky150	Barbourville Urban Renewal & Comm. Dev.	198	\$741,770	196	\$315	193	3
ky071	Bardstown	169	\$514,345	145	\$295	153	-8
ky135	Boone Co. Assisted Housing Dept.	978	\$4,383,788	901	\$407	901	0
ky171	Bowling Green	509	\$1,829,362	502	\$304	481	21
ky145	Breckinridge Co. HA	212	\$455,049	206	\$184	184	22
ky136	Campbell Co. Dept. of Housing	635	\$2,970,896	617	\$401	612	5
ky047	Campbellsville HA	274	\$921,133	274	\$265	272	2
ky133	Covington CDA	1,089	\$5,470,354	1,089	\$403	1,063	26
ky160	Cumberland Valley Regional HA	715	\$2,778,459	715	\$298	710	5
ky021	Cynthiana	175	\$578,040	166	\$291	171	-5
ky157	Floyd Co.	301	\$1,015,662	301	\$280	284	17
ky003	Frankfort sec. 8 voucher	458	\$1,515,998	423	\$298	404	19
ky061	Georgetown HA	356	\$1,626,090	333	\$408	338	-5

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ky173	Glasgow Community Development	338	\$861,998	331	\$221	331	0
ky053	Greensburg HA	118	\$308,219	118	\$206	115	3
ky001	HA of Louisville	9,188	\$53,956,583	8,234	\$551	8,143	91
ky146	Harrodsburg	160	\$294,960	125	\$196	143	-18
ky012	Henderson	649	\$2,355,315	629	\$312	618	11
ky011	Hopkinsville	484	\$1,477,323	483	\$255	487	-4
ky901	KY Housing Corp.	4,727	\$17,683,454	4,569	\$323	4,446	123
ky163	Lake Cumberland Housing Agency, Inc.	514	\$1,305,628	484	\$225	483	1
ky169	Laurel Co. Fiscal Court	330	\$1,060,536	330	\$256	330	0
ky086	Lawrence Co. sec. 8 voucher	130	\$415,089	125	\$276	123	2
ky022	Lebanon HA	72	\$207,017	72	\$229	72	0
ky130	Lexington-Fayette Urban Co. HA	1,992	\$10,533,704	1,984	\$442	1,887	97
ky007	Madisonville sec. 8 voucher	253	\$722,819	253	\$222	222	31
ky040	Mayfield	232	\$691,614	213	\$271	197	16
ky017	Maysville	108	\$293,194	102	\$241	94	8
ky015	Newport	432	\$1,907,487	408	\$390	416	-8
ky009	Owensboro	289	\$1,092,713	289	\$309	276	13
ky137	Paducah sec. 8 voucher	554	\$1,832,126	542	\$282	469	73
ky027	Paintsville	87	\$286,594	87	\$272	87	0
ky140	Paris-Bourbon Co. CDA	472	\$1,872,861	472	\$323	466	6
ky121	Pike County HA	568	\$1,356,934	568	\$161	583	-15
ky107	Pikeville	341	\$1,155,629	341	\$277	318	23
ky141	Pineville/Bell Co. CDA	401	\$1,279,800	386	\$276	366	20
ky035	Prestonburg sec. 8 voucher	144	\$478,309	143	\$279	140	3
ky132	Richmond sec. 8 housing	703	\$2,548,994	660	\$322	604	56
ky008	Somerset	245	\$650,710	245	\$213	242	3
ky056	Springfield sec. 8 voucher	69	\$186,765	69	\$214	63	6
ky138	Urban Renewal & CDA	297	\$921,538	297	\$246	279	18
ky143	Williamsburg CDA	553	\$1,749,277	528	\$276	519	9
LA023	Alexandria	1,073	\$4,220,006	1,073	\$369	841	232
LA009	Ascension Parish	87	\$384,781	80	\$401	84	-4
LA215	Assumption Parish HA	108	\$337,784	91	\$308	85	6
LA132	Avoyelles Parish Police Jury	119	\$370,706	116	\$266	115	1
LA219	Baton Rouge	267	\$1,464,527	267	\$421	220	47
LA241	Bienville Parish Policy Jury	81	\$245,485	81	\$245	72	9

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
LA024	Bogalusa HA	98	\$360,800	94	\$321	82	12
LA190	Bossier Parish Section 8 Housing	641	\$2,354,472	641	\$268	641	0
LA184	Caddo Parish Commission	141	\$395,759	121	\$272	128	-7
LA172	Calcasieu	536	\$2,418,724	536	\$332	506	30
LA125	Caldwell Parish HA	114	\$367,673	112	\$274	103	9
LA222	Catahoula Parish	66	\$182,827	65	\$233	65	0
LA032	Church Point HA	88	\$214,216	81	\$219	86	-5
LA246	Claiborne Parish	84	\$252,028	84	\$244	84	0
LA122	Colfax HA	50	\$136,735	48	\$239	48	0
LA159	Concordia Parish Policy Jury	51	\$118,589	49	\$200	47	2
LA238	Covington	109	\$543,168	109	\$409	97	12
LA029	Crowley HA	365	\$1,199,822	324	\$309	332	-8
LA114	Delhi HA	40	\$75,800	36	\$176	32	4
LA101	Denham Springs HA	120	\$388,847	105	\$309	111	-6
LA209	DeRidder Community Development	35	\$111,729	33	\$278	34	-1
LA230	Desoto Parish Policy Jury	212	\$681,906	200	\$284	196	4
LA202	Donaldsonville	95	\$380,119	87	\$364	92	-5
LA003	East Baton Rouge Parish	2,377	\$12,808,388	2,206	\$484	2,312	-106
LA195	East Carroll Parish Police Jury	142	\$565,440	142	\$315	142	0
LA182	Evangeline Parish Police Jury	120	\$366,860	120	\$231	117	3
LA229	Franklin Parish Policy Jury	13	\$42,488	13	\$254	12	1
LA097	Grambling HA	150	\$425,898	122	\$291	121	1
LA120	Grant Parish HA	113	\$259,069	101	\$214	90	11
LA104	Hammond	286	\$926,117	255	\$303	279	-24
LA189	Iberia Parish Government	226	\$643,741	169	\$317	183	-14
LA214	Iberville Parish	42	\$93,484	32	\$247	33	-1
LA099	Independence HA	55	\$181,503	52	\$298	52	0
LA248	Jackson Parish	18	\$48,615	18	\$175	17	1
LA168	Jeanerette Public Housing	41	\$114,621	37	\$256	38	-1
LA188	Jefferson Davis Policy Jury Section 8	129	\$464,215	124	\$311	126	-2
LA013	Jefferson Parish	2,642	\$13,145,860	2,626	\$417	2,447	179
LA012	Kenner HA	572	\$3,038,129	492	\$514	483	9
LA206	Kentwood	55	\$191,555	54	\$297	52	2
LA247	Kinder	97	\$266,162	86	\$257	85	1
LA005	Lafayette	1,598	\$5,866,294	1,490	\$328	1,568	-78

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
LA253	Lafourche Parish HA	271	\$611,008	163	\$314	163	0
LA004	Lake Charles	1,542	\$6,477,246	1,484	\$364	1,336	148
LA111	Leesville HA	56	\$141,090	48	\$246	46	2
LA212	Lincoln Parish Policy Jury	135	\$433,250	117	\$308	113	4
LA031	Mamou	20	\$52,038	20	\$189	19	1
LA037	Minden HA	137	\$472,775	130	\$303	132	-2
LA006	Monroe HA	1,469	\$5,879,817	1,456	\$337	1,391	65
LA258	Morehouse Parish Police Jury HA	158	\$407,554	135	\$252	137	-2
LA221	Morgan City HA	134	\$285,990	104	\$228	88	16
LA115	Natchitoches	449	\$1,207,973	416	\$242	389	27
LA166	Natchitoches Parish HA	334	\$1,067,979	334	\$250	331	3
LA165	New Iberia	322	\$1,011,275	320	\$264	300	20
LA001	New Orleans	9,490	\$64,659,879	9,375	\$575	8,134	1,241
LA205	New Roads	42	\$145,104	42	\$283	39	3
LA169	Newellton HA	129	\$331,635	115	\$242	115	0
LA033	Oakdale HA	120	\$315,174	113	\$232	111	2
LA269	Oberlin	75	\$93,858	47	\$168	35	12
LA171	Ouachita Parish Policy Jury	514	\$2,206,580	514	\$353	494	20
LA254	Pearl River	70	\$280,271	45	\$518	41	4
LA057	Pineville HA	165	\$582,304	158	\$307	160	-2
LA179	Plaquemine	134	\$336,350	116	\$242	123	-7
LA075	Ponchatoula HA	60	\$178,135	60	\$232	60	0
LA199	Port Allen	138	\$495,810	121	\$343	113	8
LA129	Rapides Parish HA	267	\$1,000,501	267	\$312	268	-1
LA174	Rayne	93	\$210,400	78	\$224	84	-6
LA163	Red River	45	\$143,037	45	\$263	45	0
LA232	Ruston Section 8	233	\$918,406	233	\$305	222	11
LA074	Sabine Parish	108	\$313,641	94	\$277	94	0
LA002	Shreveport HA	3,174	\$14,340,469	3,174	\$373	2,913	261
LA103	Slidell	304	\$1,442,452	191	\$685	214	-23
LA178	St Martin Parish Govn't Hsg Dept	341	\$944,809	312	\$252	265	47
LA187	St. Bernard Parish Government	280	\$1,170,459	254	\$384	251	3
LA094	St. Charles Parish HA	305	\$1,166,592	284	\$342	275	9
LA181	St. John the Baptist HA	102	\$517,746	82	\$527	91	-9
LA067	St. Landry Parish HA	646	\$1,967,304	634	\$259	623	11

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
LA173	St. Martinville Section 8 Program	50	\$238,540	48	\$411	50	-2
LA220	St. Mary Parish HA	200	\$298,539	200	\$119	193	7
LA063	Sulphur HA	108	\$402,540	95	\$363	101	-6
LA242	Tallulah HA	55	\$128,408	39	\$273	40	-1
LA207	Tangipahoa Parish Council	185	\$684,837	169	\$341	169	0
LA211	Terrebonne Parish	512	\$2,019,928	459	\$367	485	-26
LA194	Thibodaux HA	251	\$703,644	196	\$299	196	0
LA196	Union Parish Police Jury	101	\$252,414	80	\$270	80	0
LA128	Vernon Parish	66	\$143,218	66	\$179	65	1
LA192	Ville Platte Section 8	112	\$332,923	112	\$246	112	0
LA046	Vinton HA	50	\$128,907	50	\$208	41	9
LA217	Washington Parish HA	155	\$445,056	155	\$224	155	0
LA213	Webster Parish Police Jury	177	\$821,399	177	\$384	170	7
LA204	West Baton Rouge Parish	80	\$266,238	80	\$275	73	7
LA233	West Carroll Parish Policy Jury	65	\$172,559	62	\$234	57	5
LA186	West Monroe	463	\$1,832,509	463	\$299	423	40
LA266	White Castle	14	\$42,034	13	\$267	13	0
LA257	Winn Parish	92	\$238,475	92	\$211	83	9
MA079	Abington	86	\$893,662	86	\$791	81	5
MA057	Acton HA	155	\$1,553,311	153	\$848	149	4
MA051	Adams HA	95	\$365,237	82	\$370	88	-6
MA116	Amesbury HA	62	\$553,455	62	\$723	60	2
MA085	Amherst	413	\$2,777,797	413	\$551	411	2
MA084	Andover	127	\$1,151,010	127	\$706	124	3
MA048	Arlington	422	\$5,151,373	422	\$1,002	405	17
MA100	Athol HA	58	\$358,993	58	\$467	57	1
MA018	Attleboro	91	\$739,284	84	\$733	85	-1
MA159	Auburn HA	25	\$172,844	24	\$612	24	0
MA062	Avon	102	\$1,035,618	97	\$889	89	8
MA046	Barnstable	271	\$2,132,536	267	\$665	256	11
MA090	Bellingham	30	\$380,598	28	\$1,126	29	-1
MA042	Belmont	47	\$606,196	47	\$1,004	44	3
MA044	Beverly HA	320	\$3,039,319	320	\$768	316	4
MA077	Billerica HA	65	\$610,711	60	\$870	60	0
MA002	Boston HA	11,372	\$137,374,449	10,674	\$1,081	10,823	-149

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
MA110	Bourne	76	\$750,589	76	\$804	73	3
MA053	Braintree	395	\$4,471,580	395	\$930	378	17
MA126	Bridgewater	69	\$594,516	61	\$811	60	1
MA024	Brockton	931	\$8,053,321	916	\$841	814	102
MA033	Brookline	619	\$6,568,143	619	\$863	609	10
MA112	Burlington	93	\$1,051,342	93	\$870	89	4
ma003	Cambridge HA	2,150	\$28,849,502	2,040	\$1,179	2,079	-39
MA108	Chelmsford HA	358	\$2,720,662	356	\$636	340	16
MA016	Chelsea	459	\$5,374,092	459	\$945	448	11
MA008	Chicopee HA	393	\$1,823,571	374	\$412	374	0
MA098	Concord HA	85	\$978,634	85	\$952	83	2
MA118	Danvers HA	145	\$1,280,595	145	\$732	142	3
MA172	Dartmouth	197	\$1,556,436	75	\$1,804	124	-49
MA040	Dedham HA	460	\$4,105,734	460	\$787	441	19
MA138	Dennis	98	\$845,147	98	\$691	96	2
MA901	Dept. of Housing & Comm. Dev.	18,618	\$192,449,368	18,618	\$853	18,448	170
MA043	Dracut	68	\$562,942	68	\$693	66	2
MA071	Duxbury	13	\$142,469	12	\$955	12	0
MA122	Easton HA	101	\$891,150	86	\$866	85	1
MA027	Everett HA	365	\$4,178,768	364	\$956	357	7
MA006	Fall River HA	2,431	\$14,127,585	2,163	\$544	2,128	35
MA047	Falmouth	319	\$2,857,913	319	\$669	313	6
MA037	Fitchburg	177	\$1,107,720	177	\$514	156	21
MA028	Framingham	787	\$8,393,078	787	\$858	774	13
MA094	Franklin County Reg Housing & Redevelopn	579	\$3,285,711	579	\$461	580	-1
MA127	Gardner HA	102	\$565,000	100	\$469	100	0
MA025	Gloucester	573	\$5,605,869	573	\$814	560	13
MA096	Greenfield	450	\$2,535,190	450	\$434	439	11
MA121	Halifax	14	\$152,711	14	\$858	14	0
MA148	Hanover	27	\$262,682	21	\$1,060	24	-3
MA155	Hanson HA	25	\$276,743	25	\$930	20	5
MA087	Haverhill	349	\$2,606,857	349	\$615	333	16
MA119	Hingham	25	\$335,218	25	\$1,008	25	0
MA072	Holbrook	82	\$867,131	82	\$874	78	4
MA082	Holden	59	\$398,265	59	\$545	58	1

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
MA165	Holliston HA	49	\$575,424	49	\$905	48	1
MA005	Holyoke	1,180	\$5,818,345	1,128	\$430	1,130	-2
MA091	Hudson HA	46	\$578,444	46	\$942	46	0
MA056	Ipswich HA	55	\$534,830	47	\$939	48	-1
MA010	Lawrence HA	930	\$6,695,012	915	\$689	815	100
MA086	Leominster HA	273	\$1,698,635	273	\$513	269	4
MA067	Lexington HA	68	\$769,545	68	\$818	68	0
MA001	Lowell	1,171	\$10,811,917	1,042	\$865	1,112	-70
MA023	Lynn HA	1,242	\$11,821,165	1,242	\$776	1,197	45
MA022	Malden HA	670	\$7,582,668	670	\$857	628	42
MA134	Mansfield	64	\$710,193	64	\$886	63	1
MA070	Marlborough	135	\$1,528,774	135	\$939	127	8
MA015	Medford	987	\$10,852,132	923	\$980	838	85
MA063	Melrose	240	\$2,731,204	240	\$915	225	15
MA113	Merrimac HA	22	\$194,905	21	\$785	20	1
MA081	Methuen	558	\$4,079,869	554	\$614	553	1
MA060	Middleborough	154	\$1,312,683	154	\$638	145	9
MA069	Milford	556	\$5,973,655	549	\$907	528	21
MA154	Millis HA	21	\$217,728	21	\$880	20	1
MA147	Milton	144	\$1,751,150	144	\$1,009	139	5
MA092	Natick HA	102	\$1,015,429	102	\$804	100	2
MA065	Needham	120	\$1,126,335	119	\$792	117	2
MA007	New Bedford	1,595	\$10,942,589	1,595	\$550	1,556	39
MA032	Newburyport	102	\$884,808	101	\$730	87	14
MA036	Newton	441	\$5,944,963	439	\$1,129	437	2
MA034	North Adams	313	\$1,753,283	306	\$477	279	27
MA107	North Andover	133	\$1,221,310	128	\$798	125	3
MA170	North Attleboro	104	\$875,265	103	\$710	96	7
MA089	North Reading	22	\$227,381	21	\$910	19	2
MA026	Northampton	510	\$2,983,210	443	\$561	439	4
MA109	Norwood HA	341	\$3,746,318	341	\$908	328	13
MA106	Oxford HA	87	\$612,301	87	\$525	85	2
MA054	Peabody Housing	262	\$2,802,824	262	\$870	256	6
MA111	Pembroke HA	83	\$981,580	83	\$965	79	4
MA029	Pittsfield	580	\$3,086,292	576	\$447	489	87

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
MA059	Plymouth	363	\$3,284,055	354	\$836	325	29
MA020	Quincy	845	\$9,269,697	845	\$898	839	6
MA075	Reading HA	125	\$1,315,562	122	\$896	117	5
MA014	Revere	470	\$5,122,912	465	\$918	438	27
MA133	Rockland	154	\$1,669,032	154	\$906	150	4
MA073	Rockport HA	153	\$1,571,353	151	\$869	146	5
MA055	Salem HA	865	\$7,237,432	809	\$745	792	17
MA174	Salisbury HA	58	\$519,077	56	\$778	56	0
MA181	Sandwich	28	\$217,779	26	\$703	25	1
MA099	Saugus	150	\$1,658,205	144	\$961	127	17
MA041	Shrewsbury	173	\$1,285,357	173	\$576	173	0
MA031	Somerville	1,034	\$12,340,149	1,034	\$954	1,019	15
MA076	Southbridge	125	\$785,965	118	\$553	125	-7
MA035	Springfield	2,485	\$14,276,314	2,308	\$516	2,458	-150
MA080	Stockbridge HA	41	\$205,964	41	\$420	40	1
MA117	Stoughton	48	\$542,310	46	\$989	38	8
MA175	Stow	23	\$257,716	22	\$962	21	1
MA017	Taunton	734	\$7,072,066	705	\$835	694	11
MA139	Tewksbury	110	\$1,030,628	110	\$744	107	3
MA074	Wakefield HA	331	\$3,621,129	331	\$875	327	4
MA140	Walpole HA	95	\$965,839	95	\$796	94	1
MA013	Waltham	450	\$5,678,332	450	\$976	442	8
MA088	Ware	99	\$377,776	84	\$376	57	27
MA105	Warren	66	\$398,494	66	\$486	58	8
MA093	Watertown	156	\$1,791,385	156	\$922	152	4
MA101	Wayland	78	\$942,144	78	\$989	65	13
MA123	Webster	42	\$215,293	37	\$482	34	3
MA135	Wellesley	11	\$128,648	11	\$923	11	0
MA078	West Springfield	251	\$1,344,785	246	\$455	243	3
MA050	Westfield	240	\$1,235,013	234	\$441	238	-4
MA045	Weymouth HA	159	\$1,690,325	159	\$843	144	15
MA066	Williamstown	97	\$364,077	93	\$330	94	-1
MA061	Wilmington HA	11	\$124,435	11	\$811	11	0
MA039	Winchendon	35	\$175,373	34	\$426	33	1
MA125	Winchester	143	\$1,737,042	143	\$1,002	137	6

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
MA019	Woburn	288	\$3,336,170	288	\$947	278	10
MA012	Worcester HA	1,798	\$12,336,778	1,798	\$558	1,800	-2
MA095	Yarmouth HA	249	\$2,150,299	242	\$741	231	11
MD001	Annapolis	200	\$1,309,360	169	\$647	146	23
MD018	Anne Arundel Co.	1,756	\$13,690,530	1,577	\$723	1,558	19
MD033	Baltimore Co.	5,714	\$36,756,603	5,583	\$549	5,239	344
MD022	Calvert Co.	314	\$2,416,444	308	\$655	284	24
MD032	Carroll Co.	549	\$3,632,932	549	\$539	545	4
MD029	Cecil Co.	539	\$3,048,352	534	\$476	503	31
MD024	Charles Co.	768	\$6,488,156	711	\$761	662	49
MD009	Crisfield	23	\$92,520	22	\$351	21	1
MD012	De Grace	28	\$146,107	25	\$492	24	1
MD019	Easton	139	\$616,460	139	\$361	132	7
MD016	Elktown	40	\$195,566	37	\$451	40	-3
MD003	Frederick	677	\$6,148,156	560	\$915	548	12
md002	HA Baltimore City	15,638	\$79,277,494	12,436	\$565	11,040	1,396
MD006	Hagerstown	884	\$4,404,306	814	\$451	787	27
MD025	Hartford Co.	991	\$5,628,316	991	\$465	868	123
MD023	Howard Co.	863	\$7,223,931	762	\$790	795	-33
MD901	Md Dept of Housing & Community Developm	2,169	\$11,259,503	2,032	\$466	2,031	1
MD004	Montgomery Co.	5,669	\$60,221,628	5,355	\$938	5,202	153
MD015	Prince George's Co.	4,552	\$46,090,078	4,148	\$928	4,148	0
MD034	Queen Anne's County HA	136	\$816,917	129	\$527	127	2
MD007	Rockville	359	\$4,404,446	290	\$1,264	309	-19
MD021	St. Mary's County HA	1,263	\$8,455,634	1,263	\$558	1,179	84
MD013	St. Michael's	20	\$92,530	17	\$456	17	0
MD028	Washington Co.	498	\$2,264,669	496	\$381	488	8
MD027	Westminster	289	\$1,855,455	286	\$541	272	14
MD014	Wicomico Co.	373	\$1,305,770	305	\$357	239	66
ME007	Auburn	590	\$2,447,320	590	\$335	590	0
ME030	Augusta HA	355	\$1,530,026	355	\$297	329	26
ME009	Bangor	431	\$1,745,435	389	\$374	389	0
ME023	Bar Harbor HA	127	\$569,813	126	\$377	115	11
ME019	Bath HA	126	\$672,274	123	\$454	123	0
ME028	Biddeford HA	118	\$563,842	118	\$392	116	2

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ME021	Brewer HA	133	\$550,105	132	\$346	128	4
ME006	Brunswick	400	\$2,203,161	400	\$451	396	4
ME025	Caribou HA	193	\$605,298	191	\$264	183	8
ME027	Ellsworth HS	137	\$641,063	130	\$410	117	13
ME002	Fort Fairfield HA	132	\$572,044	132	\$336	131	1
ME005	Lewiston HA	1,238	\$4,660,251	1,099	\$353	1,070	29
ME901	Maine State HA	4,000	\$19,760,539	3,779	\$436	3,769	10
ME024	Mount Desert HA	53	\$251,728	47	\$447	44	3
ME018	Old Town	209	\$929,146	206	\$375	198	8
ME003	Portland HA	1,754	\$12,849,865	1,754	\$614	1,739	15
ME004	Preque Isle HA	75	\$270,344	75	\$293	74	1
ME031	Saco	38	\$219,068	38	\$472	38	0
ME011	Sanford HA	593	\$3,150,448	593	\$431	556	37
ME020	South Portland	389	\$2,363,134	389	\$502	383	6
ME022	Southwest Harbor HA	31	\$130,980	30	\$364	28	2
ME032	Topsham HA	23	\$109,224	22	\$417	23	-1
ME026	Tremont HA	29	\$140,706	29	\$405	25	4
ME001	Van Buren HA	101	\$267,120	101	\$217	93	8
ME008	Waterville HA	394	\$1,234,994	389	\$264	380	9
ME015	Westbrook HA	705	\$4,522,398	688	\$548	706	-18
MI121	Alma HC	64	\$202,527	62	\$272	58	4
MI064	Ann Arbor HC	1,333	\$8,632,693	1,179	\$610	1,209	-30
MI050	Baldwin HC	180	\$635,583	172	\$309	174	-2
MI019	Baraga HC	25	\$74,370	25	\$224	22	3
MI194	Bath Charter Township HC	25	\$134,422	22	\$509	26	-4
MI035	Battle Creek HC	590	\$2,245,462	497	\$376	377	120
MI032	Benton Township HC	75	\$330,667	75	\$301	67	8
MI010	Beton Harbor HC	200	\$793,779	200	\$350	117	83
MI084	Boyne City HC	60	\$188,681	50	\$313	58	-8
MI060	Cadillac HC	25	\$74,422	18	\$345	24	-6
MI030	Cheboygan HC	120	\$440,541	120	\$300	120	0
MI040	Clinton Township HC	23	\$148,975	21	\$592	22	-1
MI056	Coldwater HC	25	\$77,174	23	\$284	25	-2
MI003	Dearborn HC	96	\$580,658	95	\$509	90	5
MI160	Dearborn Heights HC	359	\$2,434,559	341	\$595	336	5

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
MI001	Detroit HC	5,635	\$33,945,721	4,823	\$586	4,543	280
MI120	Dowagia HC	115	\$407,918	114	\$298	103	11
MI044	Eastpointe HC	171	\$749,053	170	\$480	122	48
MI112	Ewart HC	25	\$60,023	25	\$200	23	2
MI096	Ferndale HC	528	\$2,223,936	528	\$495	216	312
MI009	Flint HC	963	\$3,470,254	707	\$409	688	19
MI073	Grand Rapids HC	2,690	\$15,468,494	2,690	\$435	2,659	31
MI047	Grayling HC	130	\$353,288	120	\$245	123	-3
MI023	Greenville HC	107	\$432,316	106	\$340	101	5
MI063	Hancock HC	40	\$95,071	34	\$235	35	-1
MI027	Inkster HC	517	\$3,342,507	428	\$651	358	70
MI117	Ionia HC	20	\$58,723	19	\$252	19	0
MI119	Iron County HC	169	\$560,229	169	\$270	171	-2
MI038	Jackson HC	475	\$2,181,828	475	\$375	460	15
MI198	Kent County Housing Comm	330	\$2,078,668	323	\$536	307	16
MI058	Lansing HC	1,700	\$7,637,123	1,492	\$426	1,429	63
MI100	Lapeer HC	122	\$643,969	122	\$386	113	9
MI051	Lincoln Park HC	293	\$1,888,388	293	\$536	259	34
MI055	Livonia HC	803	\$5,604,785	803	\$564	745	58
MI132	Luce County HC	110	\$317,363	110	\$227	110	0
MI188	Madison Heights HC	237	\$1,428,857	217	\$547	212	5
MI049	Manistique HC	59	\$153,864	59	\$179	56	3
MI070	Marquette	50	\$154,330	50	\$256	47	3
MI048	Melvindale HC	134	\$823,773	134	\$512	119	15
MI087	Menominee HC	61	\$202,081	61	\$246	59	2
MI901	Michigan State HA	22,842	\$111,757,476	21,458	\$450	19,961	1,497
MI186	Montcalm County HC	318	\$952,516	259	\$306	252	7
MI074	Mt. Pleasant HC	50	\$183,140	49	\$314	47	2
MI094	Munising HC	25	\$72,960	24	\$248	23	1
MI066	Muskegon HC	164	\$810,669	162	\$416	158	4
MI031	Muskegon Heights HC	50	\$193,626	50	\$305	41	9
MI045	Plymouth HC	1,489	\$10,345,342	1,408	\$612	1,395	13
MI005	Pontiac HC	768	\$5,230,202	696	\$626	611	85
MI039	Port Huron HC	522	\$2,913,518	522	\$461	517	5
MI167	Pottersville HC	25	\$127,369	25	\$404	22	3

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
MI020	Reed Ciuty HC	90	\$240,629	83	\$241	73	10
MI008	River Rouge HC	249	\$1,660,945	243	\$569	219	24
MI093	Rockford HC	90	\$411,834	80	\$430	85	-5
MI037	Roseville HC	309	\$1,654,505	285	\$483	299	-14
MI165	Royal Oaks HA	222	\$1,134,765	209	\$452	199	10
MI006	Saginaw HC	1,197	\$5,139,526	1,083	\$397	1,083	0
MI061	Saint Louis HC	155	\$469,770	143	\$273	146	-3
MI036	Sault Ste Marie HC	50	\$140,297	50	\$221	45	5
MI178	Schoolcraft County HC	35	\$89,665	31	\$239	30	1
MI097	Southfield HC	150	\$928,432	150	\$495	147	3
MI052	St. Clair HC	30	\$133,357	29	\$383	30	-1
MI059	St. Clair Shores HC	90	\$483,775	88	\$461	88	0
MI157	Sterling Heights	40	\$221,487	35	\$521	39	-4
MI089	Taylor HC	452	\$2,942,235	450	\$544	409	41
MI080	Traverse City HC	198	\$966,573	198	\$393	176	22
MI139	Westland HC	1,090	\$5,428,147	1,090	\$481	879	211
MI115	Wyoming HC	1,122	\$6,307,156	1,111	\$473	1,028	83
MI026	Ypsilanti HC	197	\$1,455,899	186	\$652	195	-9
MN037	Aitkin county HRA	31	\$118,600	31	\$239	27	4
MN077	Albert Lea HRA	155	\$476,537	155	\$214	141	14
MN085	Austin HRA	177	\$475,916	177	\$194	177	0
MN190	Becker County HA	74	\$240,352	74	\$225	60	14
MN009	Bemidji HRA	183	\$575,584	171	\$282	177	-6
MN176	Big Stone County HRA	34	\$94,171	34	\$218	32	2
MN152	Bloomington HRA	525	\$3,604,231	525	\$566	512	13
MN167	Blue Earth County EDA	72	\$312,118	71	\$365	70	1
MN032	Brainerd HRA	320	\$1,069,488	306	\$292	316	-10
MN067	Cambridge HRA	58	\$258,593	54	\$402	54	0
MN188	Cass County HRA	137	\$332,456	113	\$246	112	1
MN173	Chippewa County HRA	95	\$231,943	90	\$214	89	1
MN164	Clay County HRA	360	\$1,317,239	342	\$321	333	9
MN186	Clearwater County HA	107	\$327,799	107	\$253	97	10
MN073	Cloquet HA	105	\$288,403	105	\$226	101	4
MN021	Crookston HRA	188	\$622,979	178	\$292	165	13
MN147	Dakota County CDA	2,202	\$15,009,305	2,202	\$550	2,169	33

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
MN107	Detroit Lakes HRA	167	\$426,870	158	\$225	149	9
MN192	Douglas County	245	\$659,100	239	\$230	238	1
MN003	Duluth HRA	1,428	\$6,175,108	1,428	\$346	1,394	34
MN045	East Grand Forks Economic HA	311	\$1,223,588	305	\$334	305	0
MN200	Fairbault County	93	\$249,750	81	\$257	79	2
MN008	Fergus Falls HRA	185	\$478,569	185	\$199	183	2
MN169	Grant County HRA	51	\$86,149	49	\$145	44	5
MN154	Itasca County HRA	204	\$686,126	199	\$287	176	23
MN168	Kandiyohi County HRA	327	\$1,055,909	319	\$276	319	0
MN153	Koochiching HRA	128	\$380,900	127	\$250	120	7
MN171	LeSueur County	158	\$464,944	137	\$283	74	63
MN063	Mankato EDA	425	\$1,947,169	425	\$372	393	32
MN203	Mcleod County HRA	85	\$297,568	85	\$261	73	12
MN178	Meeker County HRA	69	\$183,747	59	\$260	63	-4
MN163	Metropolitan Council HRA	5,871	\$46,051,390	5,871	\$649	5,850	21
MN002	Minneapolis HA	4,840	\$41,776,211	4,558	\$764	4,232	326
MN017	Moorhead HA	95	\$334,628	88	\$318	64	24
MN101	Mora HRA	81	\$301,027	81	\$279	73	8
MN179	Morrison County HA	131	\$397,324	130	\$254	131	-1
MN191	Mower County HRA	40	\$135,099	40	\$242	32	8
MN128	New Ulm Eda	124	\$321,193	124	\$210	124	0
MN158	NW MN Multi-County HRA	637	\$1,993,396	637	\$240	599	38
MN151	Olmsted County HRA	522	\$2,928,226	522	\$433	504	18
MN177	Otter Tail County HRA	140	\$424,935	140	\$216	138	2
MN220	Owatonna HRA	113	\$554,560	113	\$349	103	10
MN049	Pipestone HRA	53	\$120,545	53	\$184	49	4
MN170	Plymouth HRA	210	\$1,168,917	154	\$633	199	-45
MN090	Red Wing HRA	169	\$633,432	157	\$337	149	8
MN161	Renville County HRA	84	\$250,772	76	\$273	78	-2
MN193	Rice County HRA	329	\$1,450,220	311	\$389	311	0
MN216	Richfield HRA	231	\$1,439,536	231	\$454	226	5
MN184	Scott County HRA	241	\$1,721,801	234	\$613	230	4
MN219	South Central MN Multi-County HRA	667	\$2,252,178	667	\$270	525	142
MN010	South St. Paul HRA	302	\$2,026,799	302	\$559	294	8
MN197	Southeastern Minnesota Multi-County HRA	370	\$1,241,435	370	\$273	357	13

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
MN038	St. Cloud HRA	830	\$3,785,719	804	\$392	713	91
MN144	St. Louis Park HA	265	\$1,906,406	265	\$593	267	-2
MN001	St. Paul HA	3,911	\$31,764,617	3,869	\$684	3,817	52
MN172	Stearns County HRA	251	\$822,506	245	\$280	215	30
MN182	Stevens County HRA	128	\$402,616	128	\$248	128	0
MN166	Swift County HRA	83	\$224,664	79	\$236	81	-2
MN180	Todd County HA	137	\$386,078	129	\$249	128	1
MN007	Virginia HRA	505	\$1,519,655	504	\$251	450	54
MN018	Wadena HRA	74	\$196,867	67	\$246	74	-7
MN212	Washington County HRA	90	\$719,951	90	\$532	87	3
MN051	Willmar HRA	50	\$182,323	47	\$322	45	2
MN006	Winona HRA	142	\$519,356	142	\$281	121	21
MN034	Worthington HA	192	\$573,496	192	\$225	174	18
MN174	Yellow Medicine County HRA	65	\$156,853	65	\$180	63	2
MO219	ABCD Sec. 8 Voucher	227	\$793,152	220	\$300	188	32
MO192	Ava Vouchers	30	\$71,281	27	\$222	29	-2
MO198	Boone County PHA	401	\$1,330,749	371	\$299	370	1
MO075	Brookfield Sec. 8 Voucher	55	\$157,205	45	\$290	36	9
MO209	Cabool Sec. 8 Voucher	46	\$94,626	33	\$239	33	0
MO107	Carrollton HA	86	\$172,780	74	\$195	64	10
MO065	Chillicothe HA	89	\$214,982	89	\$197	83	6
MO007	Columbia HA	1,062	\$4,695,463	1,003	\$390	991	12
MO216	Dallas County PHA	572	\$1,821,426	559	\$271	539	20
MO076	East Prairie Sec. 8 Voucher	98	\$216,966	98	\$180	96	2
MO053	Excelsior Springs	166	\$950,909	166	\$428	147	19
MO205	Franklin County PHA	1,030	\$4,324,268	993	\$363	959	34
MO014	Fulton Missouri	110	\$271,574	84	\$270	76	8
MO196	Grundy County HA	627	\$1,565,101	563	\$232	587	-24
MO129	Hannibal Sec. 8 Voucher	168	\$571,762	168	\$282	163	5
MO040	Houston HA	83	\$205,311	71	\$240	68	3
MO217	Howell County PHA	457	\$1,262,067	457	\$212	390	67
MO017	Independence HA	1,655	\$9,014,605	1,655	\$449	995	660
MO215	Jasper County PHA	342	\$1,258,264	329	\$318	308	21
MO009	Jefferson HA	231	\$829,053	211	\$328	180	31
MO188	Joplin Sec. 8 Voucher	497	\$1,659,833	489	\$283	468	21

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
MO002	Kansas City HA	7,510	\$46,465,724	7,450	\$520	7,139	311
MO145	Kirksville Sec. 8 Voucher	200	\$619,740	200	\$235	200	0
MO204	Lafayette County HA	418	\$1,100,424	349	\$263	381	-32
MO030	Lee's Summit HA	433	\$2,709,182	404	\$558	383	21
MO210	Liberty Missouri HA	343	\$1,900,886	343	\$452	327	16
MO199	Lincoln County PHA	2,745	\$13,629,287	2,745	\$372	2,573	172
MO111	Macon Voucher	45	\$115,562	45	\$185	40	5
MO016	Marshall HA	103	\$289,004	103	\$220	100	3
MO072	Maryville HA	88	\$201,207	88	\$185	87	1
MO010	Mexico HA	194	\$550,855	191	\$240	124	67
MO060	Mtn. Grove Vouchers	227	\$506,584	189	\$224	189	0
MO133	Nevada HA	88	\$180,370	70	\$215	73	-3
MO208	New Madrid County HA	50	\$156,988	50	\$226	47	3
MO190	Nodaway HA	187	\$392,551	171	\$191	138	33
MO080	Oran Sec. 8 Voucher	49	\$117,872	49	\$170	45	4
MO206	Phelps County PHA	756	\$2,285,149	751	\$254	689	62
MO207	Pulaski County PHA	394	\$1,204,294	384	\$261	362	22
MO213	Ray County HA	102	\$541,431	96	\$469	73	23
MO070	Richmond HA	129	\$567,762	108	\$437	86	22
MO212	Ripley County PHA	356	\$941,153	299	\$262	326	-27
MO149	Rolla Sec. 8 Voucher	160	\$446,538	160	\$229	148	12
MO200	Scotland County PHA	329	\$867,338	329	\$213	324	5
MO074	Sedalia HA	70	\$223,287	60	\$309	66	-6
MO008	Sikeston HA	496	\$1,151,944	376	\$256	401	-25
MO058	Springfield HA	740	\$2,283,613	713	\$267	735	-22
MO006	St. Charles Sec. 8 Voucher	265	\$1,334,956	243	\$462	243	0
MO197	St. Clair County PHA	1,190	\$5,129,512	1,176	\$363	1,134	42
MO203	St. Francois County PHA	1,923	\$5,965,229	1,801	\$276	1,564	237
MO003	St. Joseph Sec. 8 Voucher	864	\$2,893,528	864	\$263	787	77
MO004	St. Louis County HA	6,237	\$36,040,636	6,237	\$478	6,163	74
MO001	St. Louis HA	6,063	\$30,414,065	5,062	\$515	5,073	-11
MO179	Vandalia HA	30	\$84,674	30	\$192	29	1
MO194	Warrensburg HA	151	\$528,928	147	\$301	134	13
MO037	West Plains Sec. 8 Voucher	205	\$480,524	182	\$226	183	-1
MO193	Weston HA	82	\$440,156	82	\$442	78	4

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
MS005	Biloxi	199	\$1,015,908	191	\$443	153	38
MS107	Greenwood HA	229	\$738,285	229	\$249	214	15
MS103	Jackson HA	253	\$1,638,936	253	\$440	238	15
MS109	Long Beach Sec. 8 Voucher	25	\$109,667	24	\$374	19	5
MS004	Meridian HA	148	\$597,922	145	\$343	132	13
MS030	Mississippi RHA #5	1,398	\$4,770,130	1,258	\$316	1,203	55
MS058	Mississippi RHA #6	4,356	\$21,740,563	3,937	\$461	3,535	402
MS057	Mississippi RHA #7	1,217	\$3,859,325	1,217	\$246	1,174	43
MS040	Mississippi RHA #8	4,939	\$22,942,293	4,939	\$378	4,280	659
MS016	Mississippi RHA II	158	\$758,231	153	\$413	156	-3
MS019	Mississippi RHA IV	1,977	\$6,972,619	1,890	\$308	1,922	-32
MS128	North Delta RHA	754	\$2,647,346	754	\$287	624	130
MS095	South Delta RHA	1,400	\$6,775,099	1,400	\$339	1,326	74
MS006	Tennessee Valley RHA	1,309	\$4,109,491	1,309	\$259	1,255	54
MT001	Billings	553	\$2,495,622	528	\$394	494	34
MT003	Butte HA	21	\$80,876	21	\$285	18	3
MT002	Great Falls HA	200	\$863,332	197	\$365	166	31
MT004	Helena HA	345	\$1,725,371	345	\$403	286	59
MT033	Missoula HA	754	\$3,617,381	747	\$404	756	-9
MT901	Montana Department of Commerce	3,721	\$15,873,509	3,721	\$352	3,571	150
MT006	Richland County HA	92	\$187,968	88	\$179	83	5
MT036	Ronan HA	36	\$146,838	35	\$348	35	0
MT015	Whitefish Housing Authority	16	\$75,019	16	\$321	15	1
NC075	Albemarle Dept of Public Housing	333	\$1,195,008	306	\$326	273	33
NC081	Asheboro HA	783	\$3,658,139	749	\$407	763	-14
NC007	Asheville	1,355	\$5,954,699	1,301	\$381	1,243	58
NC089	Bladenboro HA	232	\$692,751	200	\$296	202	-2
NC147	Brunswick County	472	\$2,126,077	442	\$401	407	35
NC003	Charlotte	4,217	\$32,825,526	4,217	\$637	3,936	281
NC120	Chatham County HA	410	\$2,430,276	390	\$519	385	5
NC139	Choanoke Area Development Assn., Inc.	483	\$1,469,007	456	\$269	437	19
NC141	Coastal Community Action, Inc.	444	\$1,589,251	444	\$296	429	15
NC138	Columbus County PHA	467	\$1,521,969	461	\$275	445	16
NC008	Concord Housing Department	534	\$3,325,777	507	\$546	516	-9
NC013	Durham	2,684	\$17,682,029	2,596	\$568	2,458	138

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
NC134	East Spencer HA	239	\$1,181,551	239	\$404	234	5
NC144	Eastern Carolina Human Services, Inc.	662	\$2,653,551	646	\$342	645	1
NC145	Economic Improvement Council, Inc.	1,595	\$5,899,169	1,502	\$327	1,450	52
NC009	Fayetteville Metropolitan HA	1,525	\$8,030,173	1,470	\$463	1,439	31
NC150	Four County Community Services, Inc.	437	\$1,630,944	437	\$308	422	15
NC146	Four Square Community Action, Inc.	469	\$1,303,825	455	\$239	415	40
NC155	Franklin Vance Warren Opp, Inc.	526	\$2,547,838	494	\$430	496	-2
NC057	Gastonia HA	1,155	\$6,247,825	1,150	\$453	1,072	78
NC015	Goldsboro HA	237	\$1,011,689	237	\$356	226	11
NC059	Graham HA	1,005	\$5,126,195	987	\$433	953	34
NC163	Greene County Public Housing Agency	286	\$1,065,284	277	\$320	281	-4
NC011	Greensboro	2,543	\$14,666,162	2,543	\$428	2,561	-18
NC022	Greenville HA	652	\$2,483,930	605	\$342	644	-39
NC158	Harnett County Housing	277	\$776,359	250	\$259	232	18
NC056	Hickory HA	449	\$1,958,436	449	\$362	438	11
NC006	Highpoint	1,350	\$6,032,237	1,273	\$410	1,280	-7
NC161	Isothermal Planning & Development Commi:	1,331	\$5,557,632	1,317	\$352	1,282	35
NC154	Jackson County Public Housing Agency	324	\$1,277,822	314	\$340	318	-4
NC164	Johnston County Housing Assistance Paym:	619	\$3,425,284	611	\$467	596	15
NC004	Kinston Housing Authority	765	\$2,281,395	616	\$309	634	-18
NC018	Laurinburg	530	\$2,084,167	444	\$391	498	-54
NC039	Lexington HA	512	\$2,121,574	507	\$348	486	21
NC070	Lincolnton HA	275	\$1,408,048	258	\$454	259	-1
NC014	Lumberton HA	596	\$2,145,269	596	\$300	589	7
NC165	Macon Program for Progress, Inc.	224	\$606,200	173	\$293	195	-22
NC175	Madison County HA	191	\$711,859	185	\$321	199	-14
NC087	Mid-East Regional HA	537	\$2,741,810	505	\$452	505	0
NC065	Monroe HA	318	\$1,818,427	302	\$501	293	9
NC152	Mountain Projects, Inc.	684	\$2,674,864	684	\$321	675	9
NC137	Nash-Edgecombe Eco., Dev., Inc.	585	\$2,007,066	476	\$351	470	6
NC901	NC Dept of Admin, Commission of Indian Af:	936	\$3,084,179	842	\$305	892	-50
NC166	Northwest Piedmont Council of Government	842	\$3,237,417	825	\$327	778	47
NC167	Northwestern Regional HA	1,945	\$7,396,703	1,806	\$341	1,770	36
NC104	Orange County	623	\$4,177,070	623	\$553	610	13
NC173	Pender Count Housing Department	217	\$938,964	217	\$325	189	28

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
NC002	Raleigh Housing	3,537	\$27,202,751	3,494	\$654	3,494	0
NC118	Roanoke Chowan Regional HA	882	\$3,086,374	824	\$312	785	39
NC025	Rockingham	55	\$182,652	55	\$247	55	0
NC019	Rocky Mount HA	265	\$1,153,226	244	\$394	251	-7
NC102	Rowan County HA	554	\$3,191,095	506	\$525	506	0
NC016	Salisbury HA	44	\$309,494	44	\$558	41	3
NC149	Sandhills Community Action Program, Inc.	567	\$2,287,790	548	\$348	526	22
NC035	Sanford HA	441	\$1,631,397	405	\$336	336	69
NC160	Section (8) Housing	267	\$887,653	258	\$287	264	-6
NC072	Statesville HA	704	\$4,078,001	704	\$450	673	31
NC098	The New Reidsville HA	220	\$699,856	174	\$336	188	-14
NC071	Thomasville HA	100	\$400,253	83	\$401	90	-7
NC043	Troy	25	\$65,048	24	\$234	25	-1
NC151	Twin Rivers Opportunities, Inc.	880	\$3,441,115	845	\$339	814	31
NC050	Wadesboro HA	131	\$422,696	125	\$281	109	16
NC021	Wake Count HA	193	\$1,291,430	193	\$554	187	6
NC032	Washington HA	370	\$1,485,364	363	\$341	308	55
NC140	Western Carolina Community Action, Inc.	646	\$2,509,477	624	\$335	609	15
NC159	Western Piedmont Council of Governments	1,017	\$3,959,357	1,017	\$309	937	80
NC077	Williamston HA	157	\$421,456	143	\$245	118	25
NC001	Wilmington	1,722	\$8,002,349	1,622	\$411	1,655	-33
NC020	Wilson HA	550	\$1,947,412	525	\$309	512	13
NC012	Winston-Salem	4,100	\$24,390,894	4,100	\$441	4,136	-36
ND022	Barnes County Housing Authority	155	\$414,223	148	\$234	155	-7
ND030	Benson County HA	16	\$49,552	16	\$208	11	5
ND021	Burleigh County HA	1,041	\$3,880,164	1,041	\$293	1,016	25
ND001	Cass County	379	\$1,626,841	379	\$320	379	0
ND024	Cavalier County HA	50	\$111,550	50	\$145	47	3
ND040	Cooperstown Housing and Redevelopment (	45	\$89,128	39	\$189	41	-2
ND052	Dickey/Sargent Housing	53	\$84,906	47	\$230	27	20
ND037	Dunn County HA	41	\$70,593	36	\$165	33	3
ND016	Eddy County HA	55	\$108,001	55	\$159	48	7
ND054	Emmons County HA	4	\$13,220	4	\$171	4	0
ND014	Fargo HA	1,146	\$4,237,587	1,146	\$302	1,102	44
ND028	Foster County	60	\$156,578	60	\$217	58	2

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
ND012	Grand Forks HA	1,220	\$5,232,793	1,220	\$351	1,119	101
ND038	LaMoure County HA	31	\$59,977	23	\$217	25	-2
ND055	McHenry/Pierce HA	43	\$140,599	43	\$254	42	1
ND039	McIntosh County HA	41	\$90,756	41	\$175	41	0
ND036	McKenzie County HA	33	\$72,742	33	\$162	32	1
ND015	Mercer County HA	17	\$18,084	17	\$166	7	10
ND017	Minot HA	778	\$2,682,224	778	\$280	758	20
ND010	Morton County Housing	609	\$1,737,579	590	\$260	600	-10
ND026	Mountrail County HA	74	\$208,828	61	\$291	61	0
ND070	Northwest Regional HA	46	\$84,330	41	\$171	46	-5
ND044	Pembina County HA	178	\$545,991	178	\$247	177	1
ND013	Ramsey County HA	183	\$511,720	162	\$263	153	9
ND025	Ransom County HA	55	\$114,580	55	\$172	51	4
ND035	Richland County HA	61	\$201,436	61	\$264	61	0
ND003	Rollette County HA	64	\$232,401	63	\$306	56	7
ND031	Stark County HA	306	\$825,188	306	\$214	272	34
ND011	Stutsman County HA	487	\$1,217,759	487	\$192	474	13
ND009	Towner County HA	20	\$48,846	20	\$168	19	1
ND019	Traill County HA	39	\$105,161	35	\$252	37	-2
ND049	Walsh County HA	94	\$266,594	91	\$245	89	2
ND057	Wells County HA	25	\$56,297	25	\$179	25	0
ND002	Williston	32	\$60,315	27	\$187	32	-5
NE141	Alliance HA	187	\$436,380	178	\$204	174	4
NE114	Beatrice HA	204	\$482,330	164	\$245	164	0
NE174	Bellevue HA	220	\$1,066,311	208	\$430	208	0
NE092	Blair HA	29	\$124,400	29	\$341	26	3
NE182	Central NE Joint HA	73	\$160,461	71	\$189	69	2
NE143	Chadron HA	40	\$114,233	39	\$245	35	4
NE104	Columbus HA	100	\$313,984	100	\$254	99	1
NE083	Cozad HA	59	\$157,345	47	\$281	41	6
NE041	Crete	25	\$61,744	24	\$219	22	2
NE153	Douglas County HA	814	\$4,909,454	814	\$492	757	57
NE100	Fremont HA	133	\$443,563	133	\$277	132	1
NE181	Goldenrod Joint HA	137	\$370,961	136	\$228	136	0
NE120	Gothenburg HA	25	\$57,753	20	\$241	23	-3

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
NE003	Hall County HA	413	\$1,342,178	363	\$308	338	25
NE150	Hastings HA	477	\$1,141,642	477	\$197	360	117
NE004	Kearney HA	103	\$354,990	103	\$286	99	4
NE010	Lexington HA	122	\$377,948	122	\$259	119	3
ne002	Lincoln HA	2,864	\$12,035,029	2,786	\$360	2,739	47
NE123	McCook HA	73	\$160,847	71	\$190	65	6
NE157	Norfolk HA	254	\$816,851	254	\$234	254	0
NE125	North Platte HA	60	\$158,905	52	\$254	55	-3
NE180	Northeast Nebraska Joint Housing	73	\$180,268	58	\$257	67	-9
NE001	Omaha	4,016	\$24,570,423	4,016	\$481	3,765	251
NE078	Scotts Bluff County	410	\$1,119,252	404	\$234	405	-1
NE175	South Sioux City HA	235	\$847,057	235	\$296	230	5
NE179	West Central Nebraska Joint HA	155	\$435,538	155	\$213	155	0
NE094	York HA	99	\$291,009	93	\$261	84	9
NH011	Berlin HA	285	\$862,410	285	\$235	284	1
NH012	Claremont HA	140	\$643,393	139	\$387	133	6
NH005	Concord HA	224	\$1,180,906	224	\$419	229	-5
NH022	Derry HRA	100	\$696,213	99	\$589	98	1
NH003	Dover HA	317	\$2,483,863	317	\$654	305	12
NH014	Exeter HA	169	\$1,144,563	163	\$586	156	7
nh010	Keene HA	359	\$2,569,579	359	\$479	377	-18
NH007	Laconia HRA	407	\$2,199,873	402	\$465	402	0
NH015	Lancaster	68	\$229,114	68	\$273	61	7
NH009	Lebanon	163	\$807,563	163	\$389	162	1
NH001	Manchester HRA	1,813	\$12,609,651	1,733	\$609	1,748	-15
NH002	Nashua HA	758	\$6,256,895	741	\$704	738	3
NH013	Newmarket	72	\$461,749	61	\$626	66	-5
NH901	NH Housing Finance Authority	3,326	\$23,828,987	3,326	\$597	3,298	28
NH016	Northumberland	15	\$50,975	15	\$245	13	2
NH004	Portsmouth HA	406	\$3,241,970	406	\$665	389	17
NH008	Rochester HA	182	\$1,512,837	180	\$701	182	-2
NH006	Somersworth HA	183	\$1,351,062	167	\$675	167	0
NJ007	Asbury Park HA	278	\$2,437,089	278	\$729	261	17
NJ014	Atlantic City HA	905	\$6,668,609	905	\$563	801	104
NJ012	Bayonne HA	251	\$1,706,548	228	\$624	214	14

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
NJ067	Bergen County	3,586	\$30,616,221	3,142	\$812	3,399	-257
NJ056	Berkeley HA	25	\$224,070	22	\$837	21	1
NJ099	Bloomfield HA	270	\$2,135,755	260	\$685	246	14
NJ052	Boonton	159	\$1,237,170	150	\$688	144	6
NJ065	Brick HA	142	\$1,060,932	119	\$743	127	-8
NJ049	Bridgeton	203	\$1,170,254	150	\$650	166	-16
NJ215	Burlington Co. PHA	567	\$4,364,101	549	\$662	534	15
NJ010	Camden	1,255	\$10,949,949	1,255	\$728	1,095	160
NJ211	Cape May Section 8	103	\$431,550	83	\$431	71	12
NJ047	Carteret HA	464	\$4,797,696	413	\$970	413	0
NJ115	Cherry Hill Township	131	\$853,668	110	\$649	117	-7
NJ073	Clementon Borough	69	\$437,861	69	\$530	62	7
NJ070	Cliffside Park	347	\$3,006,753	345	\$726	334	11
NJ089	Clifton HA	253	\$2,175,869	253	\$619	250	3
NJ068	Dover, Town	248	\$1,702,209	236	\$601	230	6
NJ050	East Orange	910	\$7,686,709	864	\$741	882	-18
NJ075	Edgewater	154	\$1,424,882	154	\$766	147	7
NJ043	Edison HA	375	\$3,038,160	375	\$669	331	44
NJ003	Elizabeth	1,103	\$9,150,447	1,103	\$675	1,006	97
NJ055	Englewood	501	\$5,031,253	501	\$822	450	51
NJ071	Fort Lee HA	490	\$4,956,466	490	\$750	485	5
NJ042	Franklin HA	134	\$1,233,954	130	\$794	130	0
NJ051	Glassboro HA	112	\$796,238	105	\$630	106	-1
NJ204	Gloucester Co.	1,822	\$12,038,786	1,757	\$571	1,735	22
NJ036	Guttenberg HA	198	\$1,699,175	188	\$751	182	6
NJ212	Hamilton Township	222	\$1,166,810	177	\$550	169	8
NJ044	Highland Park	145	\$1,157,245	136	\$710	133	3
NJ015	Hoboken HA	326	\$2,508,294	278	\$752	264	14
NJ084	Hunterdon Co.	377	\$2,927,506	359	\$680	353	6
NJ037	Irvington HA	238	\$2,136,635	238	\$752	238	0
NJ009	Jersey City HA	3,079	\$25,290,280	3,079	\$673	2,611	468
NJ060	Keansburg HA	127	\$1,231,685	118	\$867	124	-6
NJ054	Lakewood HA	763	\$9,137,391	763	\$949	770	-7
NJ214	Lakewood Township Residential Assistance	1,058	\$11,721,251	1,055	\$926	1,037	18
NJ104	Linden	357	\$2,801,068	325	\$719	334	-9

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
NJ011	Lodi HA	477	\$4,024,950	474	\$707	470	4
NJ008	Long Branch	561	\$5,696,689	561	\$816	548	13
NJ105	Madison	190	\$1,334,374	179	\$620	167	12
NJ112	Manville HA	90	\$718,105	82	\$728	77	5
NJ114	Middlesex Co. HA	400	\$3,771,707	400	\$769	395	5
NJ081	Middletown HA	188	\$1,632,056	188	\$716	180	8
NJ061	Millville HA	191	\$1,196,446	178	\$560	165	13
NJ095	Monmouth Co. HA	1,889	\$16,451,333	1,860	\$737	1,794	66
NJ086	Montclair HA	290	\$2,443,361	277	\$735	280	-3
NJ092	Morris Co. Housing	634	\$5,107,231	634	\$601	634	0
NJ023	Morristown	184	\$1,561,071	159	\$817	157	2
NJ048	Neptune HA	318	\$2,195,385	253	\$723	262	-9
NJ022	New Brunswick Housing	810	\$7,656,690	810	\$776	771	39
NJ002	Newark HA	5,368	\$35,355,930	4,413	\$683	4,413	0
NJ912	NJ DCA	18,386	\$153,770,158	17,208	\$745	17,236	-28
NJ902	NJ HMFA	1,373	\$7,219,177	1,328	\$456	1,331	-3
NJ004	North Bergen	457	\$3,713,178	417	\$742	441	-24
NJ110	Old Bridge HA	204	\$1,439,496	190	\$635	190	0
NJ025	Orange	601	\$4,340,664	529	\$684	551	-22
NJ090	Passaic Co. PHA	835	\$7,404,459	831	\$752	831	0
NJ013	Passaic HA	1,792	\$11,946,541	1,334	\$746	1,618	-284
NJ021	Paterson	808	\$8,582,745	808	\$864	763	45
NJ091	Paterson DCD	1,071	\$13,776,050	1,071	\$1,018	1,043	28
NJ074	Penns Grove	35	\$248,989	31	\$680	32	-1
NJ118	Pennsauken HA	76	\$521,104	72	\$602	73	-1
NJ006	Perth Amboy HA	820	\$6,725,032	742	\$839	619	123
NJ088	Phillipsburg	210	\$1,509,172	210	\$592	209	1
NJ039	Plainfield HA	603	\$5,356,646	602	\$741	570	32
NJ032	Rahway	188	\$1,619,235	186	\$725	183	3
NJ046	Red Bank	260	\$1,991,942	217	\$765	229	-12
NJ058	Salem HA	30	\$242,651	30	\$495	28	2
NJ106	Sayreville HA	174	\$1,520,244	174	\$724	168	6
NJ083	Secaucus	250	\$2,109,552	239	\$735	210	29
NJ097	Somerville, Borough	170	\$1,647,808	163	\$841	159	4
NJ035	South Amboy	111	\$946,464	111	\$683	107	4

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
NJ026	Union City HA	646	\$4,212,884	592	\$593	626	-34
NJ113	Union Co.	371	\$2,910,135	371	\$635	363	8
NJ109	Union Township HA	163	\$1,107,509	147	\$629	145	2
NJ063	Vineland	347	\$2,094,666	320	\$545	320	0
NJ102	Warren Co. Housing Program	666	\$3,793,298	632	\$500	630	2
NJ077	Weehawken	350	\$2,426,837	331	\$610	310	21
NJ030	West New York	462	\$3,553,529	428	\$691	422	6
NJ108	West Orange PHA	120	\$849,091	115	\$615	116	-1
NJ033	Woodbridge HA	405	\$4,309,180	405	\$853	391	14
NM004	Alamogordo Housing	58	\$144,888	52	\$234	43	9
NM001	Albuquerque HA	3,851	\$19,356,587	3,694	\$437	3,760	-66
NM057	Bernalillo Co.	2,021	\$10,916,082	1,549	\$587	1,765	-216
NM035	Bernalillo HA	75	\$398,267	74	\$447	71	3
NM065	Clovis HA	608	\$1,642,757	531	\$258	531	0
NM071	Cuba HA	58	\$217,443	48	\$375	48	0
NM062	Dona Ana County HA	605	\$2,603,958	605	\$345	563	42
NM064	Eastern Plains Council of Gov/Region IV HA	557	\$1,785,884	521	\$285	547	-26
NM006	Gallup HA	38	\$112,652	31	\$300	29	2
NM030	Grants HA	98	\$371,498	92	\$351	92	0
NM003	Las Cruces	917	\$3,722,890	917	\$329	755	162
NM034	Lordsburg PHA	10	\$19,433	7	\$246	7	0
NM070	Los Lunas, Village	122	\$563,104	117	\$401	117	0
NM010	Mexico	204	\$857,979	168	\$424	154	14
NM042	Mora County HA	66	\$169,904	56	\$252	54	2
NM069	Mountainair HA	136	\$494,300	128	\$321	107	21
NM076	New Mexico Region II HA	104	\$533,894	103	\$430	95	8
NM067	Region V HA	911	\$3,547,995	911	\$310	859	52
NM063	Region VI HA	1,285	\$4,512,932	1,260	\$313	1,225	35
NM039	Rio Arriba County HA	25	\$66,977	18	\$313	21	-3
NM066	San Juan County HA	278	\$890,721	245	\$303	230	15
NM061	San Miguel County	112	\$414,954	106	\$325	100	6
NM009	Santa Fe Civic HA	514	\$3,555,310	498	\$595	482	16
NM050	Santa Fe County Housing	241	\$1,663,452	230	\$603	232	-2
NM077	Socorro County HA	318	\$1,191,851	277	\$358	268	9
NM038	Taos County HA	448	\$2,032,484	415	\$412	415	0

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
NM020	Truth or Consequences HA	170	\$510,727	156	\$272	157	-1
NM033	Tucumcari HA	140	\$610,743	140	\$317	138	2
NV013	Clark Co.	2,872	\$19,666,931	2,565	\$656	2,786	-221
NV002	Las Vegas	4,161	\$33,069,993	4,161	\$661	3,956	205
NV905	Nevada Rural HA	1,393	\$8,584,974	1,323	\$541	1,363	-40
NV007	North Las Vegas HA	1,371	\$11,748,610	1,371	\$689	1,256	115
NV001	Reno HA	2,272	\$13,123,485	2,272	\$462	2,244	28
NY429	Margaretville, Village	10	\$24,500	9	\$222	9	0
NY009	Albany HA	2,113	\$8,774,255	1,907	\$383	1,893	14
NY091	Amherst, Town	4,319	\$17,496,388	4,257	\$342	4,093	164
NY060	Amsterdam HA	293	\$1,216,139	289	\$357	297	-8
NY066	Auburn HA	157	\$534,860	152	\$293	152	0
NY130	Babylon, Town	881	\$11,399,018	881	\$1,020	822	59
NY562	Ballston Spa, Village	159	\$690,151	138	\$419	138	0
NY049	Beacon HA	129	\$855,376	112	\$635	95	17
NY427	Bethlehem, Town	59	\$212,361	52	\$343	56	-4
NY016	Binghamton	50	\$210,100	48	\$362	51	-3
NY437	Binghamton	437	\$1,227,419	388	\$264	408	-20
NY534	Boonville Housing	30	\$67,208	28	\$201	27	1
NY149	Brookhaven, Town	797	\$8,952,048	749	\$995	718	31
NY409	Buffalo	4,870	\$20,079,764	4,664	\$359	4,450	214
NY449	Buffalo	972	\$4,395,703	972	\$374	824	148
NY002	Buffalo Municipal HA	400	\$1,477,505	369	\$334	364	5
NY523	Camden, Town	44	\$145,208	43	\$282	40	3
NY413	Canajoharie, Village	60	\$216,816	60	\$293	52	8
NY521	Canastota HA	135	\$430,785	128	\$280	121	7
NY525	Clayville, Village	17	\$52,200	15	\$281	15	0
NY416	Clifton Park, Town	59	\$244,770	51	\$403	49	2
NY418	Clinton, Village	21	\$67,706	21	\$269	20	1
NY532	Cobleskill, Village	165	\$636,218	161	\$330	158	3
NY557	Coeymans, Town	81	\$363,394	78	\$391	70	8
NY022	Cohoes HA	327	\$1,275,516	313	\$340	301	12
NY408	Colonie, Town	424	\$1,792,245	421	\$354	403	18
NY519	Corinth, Town	28	\$120,438	27	\$368	24	3
NY538	Corinth, Village	91	\$397,347	87	\$381	85	2

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
NY021	Cortland HA	306	\$921,217	296	\$260	278	18
NY441	Davenport, Town	8	\$22,816	8	\$221	8	0
NY410	Delhi, Village	41	\$126,274	40	\$261	39	1
NY446	Deposit, Village	30	\$80,393	25	\$269	25	0
NY417	Dolgeville HA	20	\$55,381	20	\$227	18	2
NY428	Duanesburg, Town	15	\$69,890	15	\$386	13	2
NY154	East Hampton, Town	189	\$1,570,351	164	\$800	135	29
NY111	Eastchester, Town	255	\$2,151,834	248	\$723	247	1
NY103	Ellenville HA	144	\$777,971	133	\$488	140	-7
NY402	Elmira Heights, Village	59	\$172,058	59	\$240	57	2
NY406	Fairport Urban Renewal	393	\$1,417,199	388	\$305	388	0
NY151	Farmingdale, Village	20	\$159,067	16	\$854	20	-4
NY425	Forestport, Town	32	\$109,139	32	\$273	30	2
NY530	Fort Plain, Village	242	\$802,029	238	\$281	224	14
NY023	Freeport HA	211	\$2,545,594	211	\$943	199	12
NY504	Fulton Housing Choice Voucher	440	\$1,593,773	423	\$314	404	19
NY044	Geneva HA	370	\$1,667,834	370	\$372	352	18
NY121	Glen Cove CDA\Section 8	340	\$3,945,959	340	\$918	321	19
NY079	Glens Falls HA	633	\$2,207,517	595	\$311	595	0
NY513	Glenville, Town	60	\$224,711	54	\$350	55	-1
NY048	Gloversville HA	221	\$709,946	214	\$277	208	6
NY535	Green Island, Village	46	\$174,712	44	\$329	44	0
NY057	Greenburgh HA	303	\$2,505,030	259	\$805	260	-1
NY146	Greenport, Village	87	\$749,066	87	\$720	82	5
NY422	Guilderland, Town	100	\$385,605	95	\$338	92	3
NY421	Hadley, Town	33	\$168,895	32	\$434	29	3
NY426	Hancock, Village	30	\$83,199	30	\$229	28	2
NY087	Harrietstown HA	37	\$95,295	37	\$175	37	0
NY892	Hempstead Dept. of Urban Renewal	423	\$4,188,450	423	\$815	403	20
NY085	Hempstead, Village	394	\$4,912,401	394	\$1,006	385	9
NY019	Herkimer HA	52	\$144,632	49	\$247	45	4
NY125	Highland Falls, Village	84	\$479,201	69	\$578	69	0
NY501	Hoosick, Town	130	\$444,334	107	\$345	109	-2
NY067	Hornell HA	134	\$405,063	128	\$263	123	5
NY432	Horseheads, Town	35	\$107,885	35	\$213	35	0

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
NY438	Hudson	131	\$456,801	110	\$345	115	-5
NY035	Huntington HA	533	\$7,526,103	527	\$1,190	470	57
NY059	Ilion HA	112	\$267,914	85	\$266	85	0
NY120	Island Park Housing Authority	40	\$304,628	25	\$1,028	33	-8
NY077	Islip, Town	1,036	\$11,401,300	1,036	\$893	1,009	27
NY054	Ithaca HA	639	\$3,401,172	639	\$423	585	54
NY017	Jamestown HA	268	\$758,150	265	\$239	246	19
NY522	Jay Housing Agency	42	\$124,526	38	\$273	39	-1
NY433	Johnstown	132	\$390,086	132	\$236	132	0
NY160	Kaser, Village	92	\$1,505,904	92	\$1,365	91	1
NY400	Kenmore HA	20	\$78,199	19	\$335	18	1
NY139	Kingston CDA	359	\$1,293,332	359	\$442	223	136
NY158	Kiryas Joel, Village	282	\$2,324,686	275	\$705	279	-4
NY447	Knox, Town	20	\$96,270	20	\$368	17	3
NY448	Kortright, Town	20	\$68,082	20	\$264	18	2
NY517	Lake Placid HA	48	\$138,821	37	\$309	40	-3
NY109	Little Falls HA	142	\$416,556	142	\$239	134	8
NY070	Lockport HA	342	\$682,123	342	\$315	175	167
NY050	Long Beach HA	389	\$4,082,003	384	\$887	351	33
NY117	Mamaroneck, Town	260	\$2,270,533	253	\$749	234	19
NY101	Mamaroneck, Village	387	\$3,768,842	379	\$838	382	-3
NY527	Manlius, Village	585	\$2,461,915	585	\$351	568	17
NY015	Mechanicville HA	150	\$598,885	124	\$404	115	9
NY440	Middletown, Town	28	\$73,671	26	\$241	26	0
NY071	Monticello HA	554	\$2,624,084	482	\$454	460	22
NY176	Mount Kisco, Village	109	\$1,181,793	107	\$917	105	2
NY175	Mount Vernon	1,039	\$6,941,317	637	\$908	666	-29
NY006	Municipal HA	175	\$602,905	144	\$348	168	-24
NY564	Nassau, Town	31	\$104,921	31	\$266	29	2
NY423	New Hartford, Town	30	\$121,036	30	\$274	27	3
NY552	New Hartford, Village	102	\$351,839	102	\$286	89	13
NY088	New Rochelle	201	\$2,114,181	198	\$889	195	3
NY113	New Rochelle	1,111	\$8,600,380	930	\$771	897	33
NY138	New Square, Village	670	\$7,256,451	637	\$950	645	-8
NY110	New York City Dept. of Housing Preservation	23,759	\$207,492,734	22,579	\$779	24,061	-1,482

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
NY005	New York City HA	98,066	\$791,664,659	92,770	\$745	87,304	5,466
NY913	New York State Housing Finance Agency St	1,450	\$13,970,783	1,268	\$918	1,220	48
NY089	Newark HA	377	\$1,446,230	354	\$341	360	-6
NY051	Newburgh HA	431	\$3,051,432	406	\$627	390	16
NY404	Niagara Falls	788	\$2,764,577	719	\$321	708	11
NY430	Niskayuna, Town	46	\$194,203	43	\$375	43	0
NY152	North Fork Housing Alliance, Inc.	285	\$2,242,026	231	\$809	198	33
NY086	North Hempstead HA	225	\$2,552,308	199	\$1,070	192	7
NY107	North Syracuse, Village	252	\$1,025,785	252	\$324	238	14
NY405	North Tonawanda	603	\$2,214,504	603	\$300	477	126
NY065	Norwich HA	180	\$627,010	175	\$299	166	9
NY902	NY DHCR	12,518	\$45,269,903	12,444	\$303	11,813	631
NY903	NY DHCR	20,719	\$185,249,701	20,557	\$809	17,616	2,941
NY114	Nyack Housing Authority	181	\$1,570,281	172	\$761	152	20
NY039	Ogdensburg HA	50	\$128,151	49	\$216	46	3
NY102	Oneida HA	106	\$358,375	100	\$298	99	1
NY068	Oneonta HA	80	\$264,021	71	\$310	68	3
NY094	Ossining, Village	254	\$2,523,240	245	\$859	225	20
NY027	Oswego	489	\$2,182,826	489	\$372	468	21
NY055	Oyster Bay HA	50	\$590,611	49	\$1,000	45	4
NY128	Patchogue, Village	173	\$1,900,381	167	\$951	162	5
NY123	Peekskill	568	\$2,635,887	381	\$1,031	308	73
NY116	Pelham HA	155	\$1,503,566	155	\$802	144	11
NY508	Phoenix, Village	124	\$488,186	116	\$350	111	5
NY018	Plattsburgh HA	191	\$633,510	184	\$293	187	-3
NY118	Port Chester Section 8	307	\$3,210,373	306	\$874	294	12
NY134	Port Jervis CDA	327	\$1,358,492	260	\$436	265	-5
NY062	Poughkeepsie	87	\$587,386	87	\$538	86	1
NY137	Poughkeepsie	655	\$3,360,899	532	\$527	515	17
NY568	Poughkeepsie, Town	112	\$768,838	96	\$669	83	13
NY084	Ramapo HA	642	\$7,222,055	598	\$1,006	573	25
NY033	Rensselaer HA	98	\$385,903	89	\$362	81	8
NY127	Riverhead HDC	196	\$1,783,636	196	\$734	191	5
NY041	Rochester HA	6,402	\$29,232,465	6,344	\$384	6,367	-23
NY159	Rockville Centre, Village	303	\$2,287,613	217	\$884	217	0

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
NY034	Rome HA	405	\$1,261,647	345	\$305	343	2
NY516	Rotterdam, Town	245	\$917,344	238	\$321	229	9
NY403	Salamanca PHA	174	\$469,684	155	\$252	150	5
NY520	Saranac Lake, Village	90	\$263,858	79	\$281	79	0
NY020	Saratoga Springs	90	\$303,015	68	\$373	68	0
NY529	Saugerties, Town	180	\$930,592	173	\$449	171	2
NY028	Schenectady Municipal HA	1,328	\$6,270,988	1,328	\$387	1,232	96
NY431	Schodack, Town	43	\$161,835	35	\$392	36	-1
NY512	Scotia, Village	106	\$443,626	106	\$315	101	5
NY147	Sea Cliff, Village	20	\$189,358	20	\$745	20	0
NY528	Sidney, Village	33	\$93,490	33	\$222	32	1
NY155	Smithtown, Town	111	\$1,067,940	94	\$949	95	-1
NY141	Southampton, Town	321	\$3,707,040	321	\$877	287	34
NY148	Spring Valley, Village	815	\$7,332,053	653	\$935	611	42
NY098	St. Johnsville, Village	60	\$197,782	56	\$295	57	-1
NY435	Stamford, Town	31	\$87,115	31	\$233	29	2
NY561	Stillwater, Town	129	\$598,040	124	\$402	116	8
NY419	Sylvan Beach, Village	29	\$84,046	25	\$278	25	0
NY001	Syracuse HA	2,975	\$14,677,375	2,763	\$445	2,763	0
NY012	Troy Housing	804	\$3,366,726	793	\$354	728	65
NY165	Tuckahoe HA	175	\$1,582,321	165	\$801	163	2
NY505	Union, Town	522	\$1,237,143	461	\$224	409	52
NY443	Utica	883	\$3,313,193	877	\$315	867	10
NY533	Vernon, Town	28	\$93,199	28	\$266	27	1
NY541	Walton, Village	178	\$475,366	171	\$232	164	7
NY503	Waterford, Town	45	\$203,665	45	\$367	44	1
NY630	Waterford, Village	55	\$239,158	54	\$369	51	3
NY524	Waterville, Village	22	\$73,121	21	\$285	19	2
NY025	Watervliet	115	\$493,153	115	\$347	105	10
NY115	White Plains	400	\$3,448,153	377	\$763	365	12
NY073	Whitehall HA	73	\$288,546	71	\$339	68	3
NY542	Whitestown, Town	49	\$166,496	46	\$302	45	1
NY424	Wilton, Town	31	\$112,511	25	\$379	31	-6
NY003	Yonkers MHA	2,137	\$17,863,925	1,826	\$815	1,670	156
NY132	Yorktown, Town	152	\$1,338,385	152	\$732	147	5

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
OH046	Adams Metro	288	\$866,247	256	\$282	265	-9
OH007	Akron Metropolitan HA	4,404	\$26,298,505	4,404	\$488	3,886	518
OH044	Allen MHA	1,041	\$4,473,613	1,041	\$329	995	46
OH029	Ashtabula MHA	474	\$2,533,350	461	\$458	448	13
OH041	Athens MHA	698	\$3,111,986	698	\$363	689	9
OH020	Belmont MHA	275	\$945,314	275	\$276	266	9
OH085	Bowling Green HA	119	\$454,499	117	\$326	119	-2
OH081	Brown MHA	38	\$116,243	32	\$301	37	-5
OH015	Butler Metropolitan HA	960	\$5,121,785	960	\$425	922	38
OH033	Cambridge MHA	695	\$2,493,797	695	\$289	687	8
OH024	Chillicothe MHA	455	\$2,079,100	419	\$414	412	7
OH004	Cincinnati Metropolitan HA	7,490	\$41,041,066	7,490	\$447	7,248	242
OH038	Clermont Metropolitan	891	\$4,037,016	861	\$391	849	12
OH053	Clinton MHA	293	\$1,381,689	293	\$336	267	26
OH026	Columbiana MHA	487	\$1,795,228	478	\$313	459	19
OH001	Columbus Metropolitan HA	10,343	\$56,991,515	10,343	\$462	9,676	667
OH037	Coshocton MHA	253	\$655,048	242	\$226	222	20
OH039	Crawford MHA	324	\$1,234,775	324	\$316	310	14
OH003	Cuyahoga Metropolitan HA	13,853	\$94,017,249	13,853	\$554	13,463	390
OH045	Darke County MHA	299	\$1,007,357	299	\$272	285	14
OH005	Dayton Metropolitan HA	3,504	\$15,213,785	3,351	\$378	3,190	161
OH079	Delaware MHA	441	\$2,066,512	441	\$381	425	16
OH028	Erie MHA	1,007	\$4,800,739	1,007	\$393	959	48
OH070	Fairfield MHA	899	\$3,967,185	899	\$355	897	2
OH056	Fayette MHA	247	\$944,124	244	\$323	240	4
OH047	Gallia MHA	184	\$442,835	169	\$219	156	13
OH042	Geauga MHA	171	\$763,846	171	\$341	167	4
OH022	Greene MHA	1,390	\$6,541,398	1,330	\$410	1,310	20
OH048	Hamilton County PHA	2,914	\$17,857,187	2,768	\$540	2,743	25
OH082	Hancock MHA	702	\$2,201,620	681	\$270	586	95
OH067	Harrison MHA	265	\$759,287	256	\$247	255	1
OH071	Henry MHA	227	\$689,942	227	\$246	224	3
OH086	Highland MHA	100	\$342,521	98	\$292	96	2
OH032	Hocking MHA	306	\$895,106	269	\$277	261	8
OH030	Huron MHA	572	\$1,962,472	542	\$302	495	47

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
OH019	Ironton MHA	84	\$237,996	74	\$267	72	2
OH040	Jackson County HA	208	\$558,016	208	\$217	202	6
OH014	Jefferson Metropolitan HA	818	\$2,828,799	787	\$300	773	14
OH050	Knox MHA	652	\$2,384,038	652	\$289	642	10
OH025	Lake MHA	1,358	\$8,685,785	1,296	\$558	1,229	67
OH043	Licking Metropolitan	995	\$4,444,831	962	\$385	984	-22
OH072	Logan County MHA	296	\$1,117,556	296	\$306	297	-1
OH012	Lorain Metropolitan HA	2,719	\$16,498,412	2,719	\$500	2,572	147
OH006	Lucas Metropolitan HA	3,598	\$17,202,176	3,305	\$434	3,305	0
OH016	Mansfield MHA	1,710	\$5,755,421	1,440	\$333	1,550	-110
OH077	Marietta	356	\$1,159,779	327	\$295	333	-6
OH076	Marion MHA	549	\$2,049,829	480	\$356	522	-42
OH027	Medina MHA	530	\$2,649,066	496	\$445	481	15
OH035	Meigs HA	125	\$457,751	123	\$311	111	12
OH062	Miami MHA	975	\$3,925,278	920	\$356	920	0
OH065	Middletown Public HA	1,662	\$8,088,721	1,662	\$357	1,502	160
OH058	Monroe MHA	179	\$520,352	160	\$271	173	-13
OH066	Morgan MHA	140	\$439,745	139	\$264	143	-4
OH083	Morrow MHA	102	\$349,376	102	\$285	99	3
OH069	Noble MHA	92	\$308,217	92	\$247	81	11
OH073	Parma Public HA	742	\$4,130,668	569	\$617	623	-54
OH034	Perry MHA	218	\$679,522	195	\$291	199	-4
OH059	Pickaway MHA	635	\$2,658,028	593	\$375	602	-9
OH060	Pike MHA	525	\$1,800,585	480	\$314	492	-12
oh031	Portage MHA	1,598	\$7,850,334	1,359	\$526	1,374	-15
OH010	Portsmouth Metropolitan HA	616	\$2,024,266	609	\$277	533	76
OH080	Preble County MHA	52	\$167,647	43	\$328	44	-1
OH054	Sandusky MHA	334	\$964,410	261	\$308	235	26
OH075	Seneca MHA	203	\$620,192	202	\$256	181	21
OH061	Shelby MHA	219	\$718,346	218	\$277	219	-1
OH021	Springfield MHA	1,299	\$5,099,728	1,189	\$358	1,102	87
OH018	Stark Metropolitan	1,502	\$6,151,780	1,502	\$339	1,471	31
OH008	Trumbull Metropolitan HA	916	\$3,759,073	916	\$328	818	98
OH063	Tuscarawas MHA	574	\$1,790,846	574	\$259	542	32
OH078	Vinton MHA	196	\$570,358	188	\$254	188	0

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
OH049	Warren MHA	448	\$2,027,426	448	\$371	436	12
OH036	Wayne MHA	923	\$3,333,860	923	\$270	900	23
OH074	Williams MHA	161	\$395,197	161	\$205	161	0
OH002	Youngstown Metro	2,213	\$10,493,548	2,175	\$402	1,880	295
OH009	Zanesville Metropolitan HA	909	\$3,214,031	909	\$289	911	-2
OK024	Ada	110	\$289,063	108	\$224	107	1
OK033	Bristow HA	87	\$307,202	80	\$322	77	3
OK006	Broken Bow HA	217	\$682,038	217	\$228	204	13
OK045	Cherokee Nation HA	350	\$1,682,347	350	\$472	252	98
OK020	Coalgate HA	46	\$80,284	27	\$258	27	0
OK150	Del City HA	62	\$296,933	61	\$408	55	6
OK118	Fort Gibson HA	44	\$112,214	38	\$249	37	1
OK142	Henryetta HA	95	\$254,216	87	\$243	81	6
OK044	Hugo Housing Authority	178	\$508,957	159	\$267	142	17
OK005	Lawton HA	74	\$376,197	65	\$485	67	-2
OK062	McAlester HA	73	\$213,275	69	\$258	61	8
OK027	Miami	243	\$650,285	221	\$247	221	0
OK099	Muskogee HA	751	\$2,432,701	751	\$250	718	33
OK139	Norman HA	1,185	\$6,042,282	1,185	\$398	1,102	83
OK002	Oklahoma City HA	4,033	\$20,126,660	3,885	\$432	3,873	12
OK901	Oklahoma HFA	9,395	\$43,390,812	9,121	\$396	8,757	364
OK111	Ponca City HA	134	\$399,979	134	\$229	133	1
OK032	Seminole HA	189	\$564,662	164	\$286	155	9
OK095	Shawnee	497	\$2,583,245	485	\$443	459	26
OK146	Stillwater HA	656	\$2,809,991	651	\$360	647	4
OK067	Stilwell HA	29	\$72,638	29	\$211	22	7
OK148	Tecumseh HA	31	\$140,234	31	\$376	29	2
OK073	Tulsa HA	4,281	\$25,238,770	4,281	\$469	4,166	115
OK096	Wewoka HA	154	\$513,008	154	\$275	140	14
OR034	Central Oregon Regional HA	1,003	\$5,168,402	1,003	\$413	987	16
OR001	Clackamas County	1,467	\$9,215,152	1,425	\$539	1,412	13
OR020	Coos Curry HA	721	\$2,494,079	718	\$296	623	95
OR003	Douglas County	641	\$2,539,710	641	\$315	639	2
OR015	Jackson County	1,414	\$7,085,275	1,414	\$415	1,380	34
OR031	Josephine Housing Council	752	\$2,908,291	752	\$307	667	85

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
OR017	Klamath HA	734	\$2,822,858	734	\$315	734	0
OR006	Lane County	2,639	\$14,077,329	2,612	\$453	2,601	11
OR005	Lincoln County	497	\$1,901,155	497	\$321	481	16
OR019	Linn-Benton HA	2,392	\$12,249,333	2,392	\$407	2,384	8
OR027	Malheur County HA	349	\$1,396,893	338	\$344	345	-7
OR014	Marion County HA	1,170	\$4,454,416	1,070	\$347	1,073	-3
OR025	Mid-Columbia HA	551	\$2,243,529	511	\$366	506	5
OR032	Northeast Oregon HA	710	\$2,842,745	710	\$333	690	20
OR028	Northwest Oregon HA	1,076	\$5,274,326	1,076	\$394	1,050	26
OR002	Portland	7,365	\$44,704,871	7,242	\$521	6,886	356
OR011	Salem HA	2,764	\$12,878,565	2,749	\$391	2,542	207
OR007	Umatilla County HA	329	\$1,192,621	310	\$320	292	18
OR022	Washington County HA	2,569	\$16,468,589	2,448	\$561	2,284	164
OR008	West Valley HA	699	\$3,669,186	678	\$451	623	55
OR016	Yamhill County	1,303	\$7,214,882	1,254	\$480	1,253	1
PA091	Adams County HA	586	\$2,232,167	550	\$338	521	29
PA006	Allegheny County HA	5,278	\$24,114,607	4,981	\$404	4,896	85
PA004	Allentown HA	1,289	\$6,925,690	1,147	\$503	924	223
PA031	Altoona HA	820	\$2,629,261	724	\$303	768	-44
PA039	Armstrong County HA	232	\$1,018,378	232	\$291	232	0
PA014	Beaver County HA	639	\$2,267,026	600	\$315	595	5
PA085	Bedford County HA	307	\$873,717	262	\$278	286	-24
PA071	Berks County HA	682	\$2,041,103	492	\$346	429	63
PA011	Bethlehem HA	497	\$2,762,532	469	\$491	482	-13
PA069	Blair County HA	420	\$1,343,334	388	\$288	393	-5
PA049	Bradford	112	\$405,697	112	\$290	109	3
PA064	Bradford County HA	264	\$641,279	252	\$212	253	-1
PA051	Bucks County	3,399	\$19,713,298	3,047	\$539	3,118	-71
PA010	Butler County HA	1,291	\$5,385,795	1,118	\$402	1,188	-70
PA067	Carbon County HA	432	\$1,569,370	429	\$305	426	3
PA030	Carbondale HA	171	\$613,576	162	\$316	162	0
PA088	Centre County HA	624	\$2,918,895	624	\$382	616	8
PA046	Chester County HA	1,521	\$11,990,388	1,366	\$731	1,456	-90
PA007	Chester HA	1,564	\$14,173,986	1,564	\$707	1,373	191
PA086	Clarion County HA	343	\$999,519	343	\$243	324	19

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
PA065	Clearfield County HA	122	\$337,193	122	\$231	119	3
PA083	Columbia County HA	413	\$1,259,382	413	\$253	399	14
PA066	Corry HA	159	\$517,600	159	\$244	128	31
PA075	Cumberland County HA	1,331	\$4,876,148	1,253	\$324	1,243	10
PA035	Dauphin County HA	1,040	\$5,667,090	1,017	\$468	972	45
PA023	Delaware County HA	2,753	\$20,732,554	2,753	\$598	2,573	180
PA063	Dubois HA	192	\$695,660	192	\$286	189	3
PA024	Easton County HA	310	\$1,639,906	280	\$488	293	-13
PA054	Elk County HA	221	\$530,890	187	\$236	188	-1
PA013	Erie City HA	1,044	\$3,696,357	879	\$350	965	-86
PA087	Erie County HA	788	\$2,364,992	721	\$273	638	83
PA015	Fayette County HA	1,082	\$3,971,958	1,039	\$370	925	114
PA056	Franklin City HA	128	\$392,049	113	\$289	120	-7
PA034	Franklin County HA	294	\$978,570	289	\$283	294	-5
PA072	Fulton County HA	187	\$644,002	187	\$269	178	9
PA045	Greene County HA	30	\$99,391	27	\$304	28	-1
pa001	HA of city of Pittsburgh	6,799	\$35,259,861	5,588	\$526	6,116	-528
PA008	Harrisburg HA	944	\$4,232,660	706	\$500	684	22
PA044	Hazleton HA	347	\$1,228,050	308	\$332	315	-7
PA027	Huntingdon County HA	120	\$393,177	120	\$258	116	4
PA048	Indiana County HA	520	\$1,711,094	490	\$291	515	-25
PA061	Jefferson County HA	263	\$918,281	263	\$281	253	10
PA019	Johnstown HA	565	\$1,972,658	565	\$270	519	46
PA038	Lackawanna County HA	795	\$2,655,589	729	\$338	746	-17
PA036	Lancaster City HA	888	\$4,584,745	880	\$434	857	23
PA090	Lancaster County HA	861	\$4,313,506	831	\$433	833	-2
PA026	Larewnce County	367	\$1,064,594	324	\$274	327	-3
PA052	Lebanon County HA	625	\$2,867,152	559	\$428	508	51
PA081	Lehigh County HA	1,652	\$7,774,522	1,652	\$385	1,579	73
PA057	Luzerne County HA	1,115	\$4,860,208	1,107	\$366	1,062	45
PA021	Lycoming County HA	280	\$840,358	248	\$282	263	-15
PA080	McKean County	356	\$1,039,698	325	\$266	316	9
PA005	McKeesport HA	542	\$2,305,296	518	\$371	504	14
PA033	Meadville HA	155	\$451,048	147	\$255	144	3
PA020	Mercer County HA	332	\$1,065,473	317	\$280	309	8

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
PA041	Mifflin County	220	\$717,138	220	\$265	219	1
PA028	Monroe County HA	475	\$3,372,512	461	\$610	465	-4
PA012	Montgomery County	2,772	\$19,751,524	2,735	\$602	2,598	137
PA032	Montour County HA	156	\$505,863	156	\$264	139	17
PA043	Nanticoke HA	51	\$254,522	47	\$450	50	-3
PA076	Northampton County HA	853	\$2,995,583	629	\$397	639	-10
PA060	Northumberland County HA	464	\$1,172,391	412	\$237	422	-10
PA059	Oil City HA	167	\$484,415	141	\$286	143	-2
pa002	Philadelphia HA	17,979	\$144,973,223	17,979	\$636	15,774	2,205
PA042	Pittston HA	147	\$572,264	146	\$326	142	4
PA077	Potter County HA	295	\$929,017	290	\$267	289	1
PA037	Pottsville HA	371	\$1,329,283	371	\$271	355	16
PA009	Reading HA	604	\$2,782,282	594	\$390	569	25
PA016	Schuylkill County	631	\$2,025,003	627	\$269	618	9
PA003	Scranton HA	941	\$3,373,312	867	\$324	847	20
PA055	Shamokin HA	221	\$706,069	221	\$260	204	17
PA092	Snyder County HA	193	\$489,536	193	\$194	171	22
PA029	Somerset County HA	91	\$290,658	91	\$214	89	2
PA068	Sullivan County HA	36	\$87,368	33	\$221	33	0
PA053	Sunbury Housing	265	\$812,748	245	\$277	219	26
PA074	Susquehanna County HA	253	\$795,557	248	\$268	236	12
PA050	Tioga County HA	213	\$548,051	213	\$208	202	11
PA058	Titusville HA	126	\$337,086	123	\$228	116	7
PA082	Union County HA	499	\$1,854,291	495	\$320	486	9
PA089	Venango County HA	394	\$1,103,542	346	\$266	312	34
PA079	Warren County HA	65	\$173,262	65	\$199	55	10
PA017	Washington County HA	936	\$3,638,334	834	\$364	826	8
PA078	Wayne County HA	675	\$3,773,271	599	\$525	646	-47
PA018	Westmoreland County HA	1,754	\$7,987,417	1,754	\$369	1,677	77
PA047	Wilkes-Barre HA	674	\$2,298,994	556	\$344	582	-26
PA062	Williamsport HA	395	\$1,370,500	395	\$278	378	17
PA073	Wyoming County HA	397	\$1,105,511	374	\$246	347	27
PA022	York	1,452	\$6,237,815	1,452	\$353	1,339	113
RI019	Bristol HA	226	\$1,040,388	186	\$467	184	2
RI014	Burrillville	84	\$427,384	74	\$483	72	2

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
RI004	Central Falls	553	\$3,562,568	492	\$603	539	-47
RI016	Coventry HA	278	\$1,324,984	214	\$517	240	-26
RI006	Cranston HA	257	\$1,447,945	189	\$638	254	-65
RI010	Cumberland	355	\$1,836,693	298	\$516	298	0
RI024	East Greenwich HA	292	\$1,428,384	222	\$535	175	47
RI007	East Providence HA	232	\$1,047,321	177	\$493	172	5
RI009	Johnston HA	205	\$1,269,976	195	\$543	199	-4
RI018	Lincoln HA	124	\$661,003	97	\$568	108	-11
RI026	Narragansett HA	182	\$1,133,118	177	\$533	175	2
RI005	Newport HA	407	\$3,840,426	407	\$686	169	238
RI017	North Providence HA	143	\$839,843	125	\$565	126	-1
RI002	Pawtucket HA	646	\$3,678,742	558	\$550	586	-28
RI013	Portsmouth HA	85	\$534,945	79	\$565	64	15
RI001	Providence HA	2,169	\$12,840,112	1,835	\$585	1,872	-37
RI901	RI Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporati	1,514	\$8,480,471	1,395	\$562	1,192	203
RI020	Smithfield HA	53	\$317,274	52	\$508	43	9
RI012	South Kingstown HA	138	\$703,213	112	\$522	115	-3
RI027	Tiverton HA	51	\$311,056	51	\$502	50	1
RI022	Warren HA	192	\$1,069,199	174	\$512	182	-8
RI011	Warwick HA	349	\$1,603,272	260	\$514	225	35
RI015	West Warwick HA	97	\$519,380	77	\$561	94	-17
RI008	Westerly HA	198	\$1,149,386	193	\$497	163	30
RI003	Woonsocket HA	666	\$4,096,450	635	\$538	630	5
RQ059	Abonito	58	\$155,162	46	\$280	41	5
RQ055	Adjuntas	52	\$177,172	52	\$268	52	0
RQ073	Aguada City Hall	73	\$248,288	69	\$300	71	-2
RQ012	Aguadilla	264	\$983,242	254	\$322	250	4
RQ082	Aguas Buenas	161	\$1,037,968	161	\$467	161	0
RQ043	Anasco	110	\$377,946	104	\$306	108	-4
RQ020	Arecibo	928	\$3,447,044	779	\$369	752	27
RQ068	Arroyo	95	\$330,460	94	\$291	94	0
RQ054	Barceloneta	125	\$549,721	125	\$344	121	4
RQ060	Barranquitas	180	\$274,326	171	\$320	76	95
RQ011	Bayamon	2,142	\$13,166,266	2,102	\$522	1,898	204
RQ061	Cabo Rojo	107	\$399,747	102	\$326	105	-3

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
RQ007	Caguas	1,291	\$6,031,855	1,275	\$394	1,224	51
RQ040	Camuy	156	\$595,839	148	\$336	140	8
RQ075	Canovanas	34	\$195,821	33	\$501	34	-1
RQ014	Carolina	741	\$3,321,732	648	\$427	645	3
RQ018	Cayey	239	\$885,146	202	\$364	215	-13
RQ070	Ceiba	41	\$188,109	31	\$513	39	-8
RQ052	Ciales	74	\$254,998	74	\$286	66	8
RQ062	Cidra	190	\$1,019,182	187	\$453	190	-3
RQ042	Coamo	60	\$225,607	57	\$328	58	-1
RQ034	Comerio	118	\$467,462	109	\$358	108	1
RQ023	Corozal	139	\$539,945	71	\$637	89	-18
RQ015	Dorado	277	\$1,661,693	277	\$455	277	0
RQ036	Fajardo	78	\$413,854	74	\$465	70	4
RQ072	Florida	45	\$203,317	38	\$450	41	-3
RQ044	Guanica	54	\$177,122	54	\$251	49	5
RQ017	Guayama	264	\$901,335	255	\$296	255	0
RQ021	Guayanilla	146	\$599,196	135	\$369	122	13
RQ016	Guaynabo	383	\$1,985,819	335	\$495	372	-37
RQ041	Gurabo	143	\$582,075	142	\$341	141	1
RQ039	Hatillo	73	\$292,323	72	\$339	71	1
RQ035	Hormigueros	103	\$424,854	95	\$371	101	-6
RQ025	Humacao	276	\$1,930,359	276	\$516	267	9
RQ066	Isabela	86	\$332,518	86	\$286	86	0
RQ076	Jayuya Municipality	4	\$16,640	4	\$318	4	0
RQ038	Juana Diaz	115	\$561,849	115	\$367	114	1
RQ077	Juncos	55	\$229,869	50	\$386	51	-1
RQ071	Lajas	69	\$248,097	69	\$301	69	0
RQ065	Lares	73	\$216,695	72	\$251	62	10
RQ046	Las Marias	40	\$129,840	40	\$268	40	0
RQ063	Las Piedras	125	\$526,370	116	\$379	113	3
RQ027	Loiza	5	\$29,034	4	\$579	5	-1
RQ081	Luquillo	69	\$387,286	69	\$460	67	2
RQ028	Manati	182	\$861,562	165	\$436	176	-11
RQ029	Maricao	35	\$129,996	35	\$257	35	0
RQ009	Mayaguez	842	\$3,514,598	811	\$361	826	-15

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
RQ010	Moca	67	\$235,486	67	\$271	65	2
RQ024	Morovis	53	\$220,999	49	\$375	54	-5
RQ047	Naguabo	121	\$518,049	113	\$381	118	-5
RQ064	Naranjito	123	\$658,029	123	\$430	117	6
RQ080	Orocovis	47	\$187,063	47	\$308	47	0
RQ057	Patillas	83	\$293,940	79	\$310	77	2
RQ019	Penuelas	240	\$1,133,875	240	\$352	203	37
RQ008	Ponce	1,477	\$7,515,946	1,477	\$410	1,444	33
RQ901	Puerto Rico Dept. of Housing	8,331	\$42,643,828	7,820	\$454	8,148	-328
RQ911	Puerto Rico HFA	1,767	\$6,701,854	1,254	\$457	1,279	-25
RQ031	Quebradillas	141	\$227,871	81	\$235	80	1
RQ067	Rincon	34	\$104,206	32	\$268	33	-1
RQ050	Rio Grande	78	\$521,181	78	\$471	77	1
RQ048	Sabana Grande	156	\$673,739	152	\$369	142	10
RQ069	Salinas	97	\$323,096	79	\$340	77	2
RQ030	San German	88	\$325,795	86	\$315	86	0
RQ006	San Juan	4,645	\$20,692,506	3,927	\$478	4,032	-105
RQ037	San Lorenzo	107	\$472,501	104	\$379	102	2
RQ026	San Sebastian	139	\$448,229	133	\$281	132	1
RQ058	Santa Isabel	70	\$248,500	68	\$303	68	0
RQ053	Toa Alta	181	\$847,305	163	\$433	162	1
RQ022	Toa Baja	244	\$1,167,403	196	\$497	237	-41
RQ013	Trujillo Alto	501	\$2,869,592	473	\$508	453	20
RQ033	Utuaado	197	\$933,610	185	\$420	197	-12
RQ056	Vega Alta	76	\$397,457	76	\$430	74	2
RQ032	Vega Baja	210	\$1,008,572	208	\$405	197	11
RQ074	Vieques	63	\$231,513	57	\$338	50	7
RQ049	Villalba	84	\$376,696	80	\$392	82	-2
RQ045	Yabucoa	117	\$570,126	117	\$406	113	4
RQ083	Yauco	25	\$101,409	25	\$304	25	0
SC007	Aiken HA	815	\$4,098,206	815	\$403	778	37
SC037	Anderson HA	499	\$2,116,465	455	\$388	458	-3
SC026	Beaufort	562	\$2,541,393	562	\$376	508	54
SC015	Bennettsville HA	64	\$239,416	62	\$322	57	5
SC056	Charleston County	1,079	\$5,755,449	1,075	\$446	886	189

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
SC001	Charleston HA	1,274	\$6,253,881	1,244	\$419	1,221	23
SC031	Cheraw HA	175	\$503,486	165	\$254	165	0
SC020	Chester HA	269	\$827,395	269	\$237	262	7
SC002	Columbia HA	2,994	\$14,505,481	2,712	\$446	2,800	-88
SC025	Conway HA	368	\$1,741,369	346	\$426	349	-3
SC005	Darlington HA	93	\$356,489	93	\$282	92	1
SC027	Florence HA	615	\$2,380,566	580	\$342	569	11
SC036	Fort Mill HA	154	\$759,430	154	\$393	151	3
SC028	Georgetown HA	163	\$553,387	158	\$293	146	12
SC004	Greenville HA	2,426	\$11,118,471	2,372	\$391	2,271	101
SC030	Greenwood HA	776	\$2,871,814	776	\$304	769	7
SC016	Greer HA	280	\$1,023,954	256	\$333	277	-21
SC029	Hartsville HA	210	\$647,912	205	\$264	182	23
SC018	Lake City HA	211	\$709,156	158	\$375	170	-12
SC032	Lancaster HA	247	\$979,758	220	\$370	243	-23
SC021	Marion HA	153	\$340,525	123	\$230	117	6
SC059	Marlboro County HA	235	\$728,286	235	\$257	216	19
SC033	Mullins HA	60	\$172,613	54	\$268	57	-3
SC034	Myrtle Beach HA	605	\$2,826,625	605	\$373	584	21
SC035	Newberry HA	235	\$845,726	235	\$285	220	15
SC057	North Charleston HA	2,002	\$9,877,472	2,002	\$403	1,961	41
SC022	Rock Hill HA	500	\$2,549,109	470	\$452	443	27
SC008	SC Regional HA #1	1,553	\$6,154,315	1,553	\$365	1,349	204
SC024	SC Regional HA #3	530	\$2,473,363	526	\$392	484	42
SC911	SC State HFA	2,380	\$10,582,316	2,264	\$390	2,316	-52
SC003	Spartanburg HA	1,599	\$6,871,187	1,599	\$364	1,231	368
SC023	Sumter HA	879	\$3,305,950	809	\$351	781	28
SC019	Union, SC HA	274	\$920,373	265	\$289	248	17
SC046	York HA	233	\$1,236,300	226	\$457	223	3
SD034	Aberdeen HA	492	\$1,393,653	481	\$241	470	11
SD056	Brookings Housing & Redevelopment	277	\$886,795	277	\$260	255	22
SD059	Butte County HA	20	\$63,135	20	\$264	20	0
SD039	Canton Housing Commission	61	\$241,090	61	\$320	59	2
SD028	Clark HA	28	\$59,211	24	\$204	15	9
SD036	Huron Housing Commission	228	\$541,538	228	\$187	210	18

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
SD048	Lawrence County HA	262	\$873,070	262	\$260	260	2
SD010	Lennox Housing Commission	27	\$116,975	27	\$343	25	2
SD011	Maddison Housing Commission	111	\$314,717	107	\$244	106	1
SD047	Meade County HA	207	\$789,131	207	\$316	200	7
SD037	Milbank Housing Commission	64	\$182,457	59	\$260	64	-5
SD038	Miller Housing Commission	16	\$23,193	16	\$118	13	3
SD014	Mitchell Housing Commission	112	\$322,407	96	\$281	102	-6
SD057	Mobridge Housing & Redevelopment	129	\$453,441	112	\$338	110	2
SD045	Pennington County HC	1,226	\$5,881,719	1,199	\$413	1,203	-4
SD035	Pierre HA	164	\$492,802	161	\$256	152	9
SD026	Redfield	67	\$170,504	67	\$197	65	2
SD016	Sioux Falls Housing Commission	1,564	\$7,567,265	1,446	\$436	1,396	50
SD055	Vermillion Housing	258	\$704,206	185	\$318	180	5
SD043	Watertown HA	287	\$849,962	244	\$291	256	-12
SD021	Wessington Springs	17	\$54,156	16	\$276	16	0
SD058	Yankton Housing Commission	143	\$356,976	119	\$249	132	-13
TN015	Athens HA	52	\$142,948	42	\$288	43	-1
TN066	Briston HA	200	\$719,343	190	\$315	184	6
TN013	Brownsfille	169	\$539,085	169	\$254	168	1
TN004	Chattanooga HA	3,140	\$15,834,321	3,120	\$423	2,590	530
TN054	Cleveland HA	208	\$744,037	208	\$297	202	6
TN042	Crossville HA	321	\$1,050,346	321	\$245	324	-3
TN062	Dayton HA	354	\$1,124,996	353	\$266	326	27
TN079	Dickson HA	644	\$2,777,960	615	\$377	617	-2
TN076	Elizabethton HA	203	\$757,145	199	\$317	187	12
TN113	Ethra	726	\$2,482,382	706	\$329	619	87
TN026	Etowah Sec. 8 Voucher	122	\$350,538	117	\$249	109	8
TN007	Jackson HA	1,298	\$5,129,929	1,186	\$360	1,068	118
TN002	Johnson City HA	468	\$1,865,484	463	\$338	468	-5
TN006	Kingsport HA	1,155	\$4,627,937	1,155	\$330	1,098	57
TN111	Knox County HA	562	\$2,433,583	562	\$357	515	47
TN003	Knoxville's Community Development Co.	2,735	\$11,241,879	2,613	\$358	2,473	140
TN012	LaFollette HA	328	\$1,080,262	288	\$314	293	-5
TN065	Maryville HA	304	\$1,246,327	304	\$316	260	44
TN001	Memphis HA	5,342	\$35,201,584	5,342	\$495	4,995	347

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
TN005	Metropolitan Development HA	5,460	\$30,759,433	5,460	\$463	5,136	324
TN038	Morristown HA	409	\$1,150,204	391	\$245	365	26
TN020	Murfreesboro HA	573	\$2,990,831	573	\$406	569	4
TN088	Oak Ridge Sec. 8 Voucher	260	\$892,038	233	\$318	220	13
TN011	Pulaski Sec. 8 Voucher	102	\$282,984	102	\$218	98	4
TN117	SE TN Human Resource Agency	227	\$834,955	226	\$307	194	32
TN016	Sweetwater HA	58	\$126,821	55	\$193	53	2
TN903	Tennessee HA	5,878	\$25,552,141	5,557	\$384	5,501	56
TN024	Tullahoma HA	116	\$363,410	104	\$290	106	-2
TQ901	NO. Marianas Housing Corp.	363	\$2,518,961	363	\$706	264	99
TX327	Abilene HA	1,013	\$4,638,948	1,013	\$347	871	142
TX564	Alamo Area Council of Gov'ts	98	\$283,639	94	\$252	92	2
TX064	Alamo HA	70	\$252,693	67	\$316	66	1
TX178	Alice Sec. 8 Voucher	154	\$508,733	144	\$296	153	-9
TX284	Alpine	123	\$343,809	123	\$231	77	46
TX272	Alto HA	45	\$204,417	45	\$351	43	2
TX472	Amarillo	1,329	\$6,209,623	1,327	\$390	1,169	158
TX488	Anderson County HA	393	\$1,404,726	393	\$260	288	105
TX439	Anthony Sec. 8 Voucher	453	\$2,573,425	453	\$415	448	5
TX313	Aransas Pass HA	211	\$855,574	210	\$340	208	2
TX499	Ark-Tex Council of Gov'ts	1,585	\$8,213,411	1,585	\$397	1,477	108
TX433	Arlington HA	3,300	\$22,477,266	3,300	\$502	3,339	-39
TX451	Asherton HA	32	\$74,516	29	\$217	29	0
TX519	Athens HA	199	\$769,414	199	\$309	199	0
TX001	Austin HA	4,964	\$44,293,580	4,957	\$745	4,932	25
TX197	Baird HA	85	\$290,048	80	\$301	78	2
TX533	Balch Springs HA	40	\$236,283	39	\$501	40	-1
TX259	Bastrop HA	22	\$133,532	21	\$541	22	-1
TX035	Bay City Sec. 8 Voucher	247	\$1,068,236	246	\$362	226	20
TX012	Baytown	727	\$5,073,608	727	\$549	615	112
TX023	Bea HA	1,876	\$8,320,362	1,876	\$363	1,680	196
TX232	Beckville HA	331	\$693,105	331	\$216	231	100
TX152	Beeville	346	\$1,012,588	324	\$260	289	35
TX452	Bexar County HA	1,811	\$9,777,278	1,550	\$526	1,481	69
TX485	Big Spring HA	366	\$1,432,627	366	\$325	340	26

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
TX444	Boerne	101	\$394,258	92	\$356	101	-9
TX251	Brady HA	85	\$233,622	81	\$242	75	6
TX526	Brazos Council of Gov'ts	1,901	\$9,545,522	1,837	\$433	1,698	139
TX039	Breckenridge Sec. 8 Vouchers	95	\$285,689	95	\$245	89	6
TX540	Brenham	82	\$328,345	61	\$446	72	-11
TX007	Brownsille HA	1,851	\$7,871,618	1,851	\$355	1,770	81
TX021	Brownwood HA	501	\$1,535,759	461	\$278	430	31
TX111	Burkburnett	16	\$61,932	14	\$375	15	-1
TX358	Burnet Sec. 8 Voucher HA	100	\$399,794	95	\$351	94	1
TX509	Cameron County HA	1,006	\$4,711,535	1,006	\$390	931	75
TX134	Cameron HA	141	\$421,054	141	\$249	133	8
TX300	Carrizo Springs	149	\$485,438	131	\$310	132	-1
TX482	Central Texas Council of Gov'ts	3,109	\$11,718,199	2,444	\$401	2,444	0
TX042	Cisco HA	68	\$153,539	62	\$208	54	8
TX495	Cleburne HA	355	\$1,576,898	327	\$402	307	20
TX024	Commerce	175	\$1,024,319	175	\$460	147	28
TX008	Corpus Christi HA	1,157	\$6,648,360	1,124	\$493	1,037	87
TX479	Corsicana HA	188	\$795,634	157	\$423	135	22
TX335	Cotulla HA	47	\$164,511	45	\$303	43	2
TX105	Crystal City HA	215	\$472,495	147	\$269	156	-9
TX309	Cuero	69	\$191,691	69	\$222	64	5
TX559	Dallas County HA	3,678	\$22,944,831	3,495	\$547	3,366	129
TX009	Dallas HA	15,975	\$135,539,564	15,975	\$689	15,652	323
TX512	Deep East Texas Council of Gov'ts	1,700	\$8,398,930	1,638	\$427	1,558	80
TX137	DeKalb Secton 8 Voucher	66	\$180,965	60	\$249	61	-1
TX016	Del Rio HA	661	\$1,864,761	626	\$248	619	7
TX392	Denton HA	1,358	\$10,112,007	1,358	\$596	1,350	8
TX210	Devine HA	50	\$128,750	41	\$268	41	0
TX397	Dilley HA	34	\$110,057	30	\$307	33	-3
TX177	Donna HA	276	\$994,294	252	\$329	247	5
TX376	Duval County	117	\$378,875	94	\$335	94	0
TX019	Eagle Pass	485	\$1,574,423	485	\$269	455	30
TX202	Edcouch HA	54	\$166,023	42	\$334	42	0
TX242	Edgewood Sec. 8 Voucher	34	\$114,579	34	\$229	29	5
TX062	Edinburg HA	870	\$3,145,523	826	\$317	821	5

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
TX096	Edna HA	132	\$381,313	128	\$248	125	3
TX432	El Paso County HA	125	\$657,938	125	\$435	123	2
TX003	El Paso HA	5,297	\$24,888,727	4,999	\$415	4,472	527
TX523	Electra HA	12	\$42,090	12	\$294	11	1
TX377	Elgin	85	\$401,825	84	\$400	79	5
TX224	Elsa HA	132	\$502,607	132	\$317	116	16
TX201	Falfurrias HA	182	\$588,875	167	\$294	147	20
TX193	Floresville	32	\$139,395	32	\$310	30	2
TX189	Floydada	140	\$522,520	139	\$314	128	11
TX004	Forth Worth	4,693	\$28,239,031	4,693	\$471	4,640	53
TX072	Gainesville HA	415	\$1,997,171	415	\$367	385	30
TX017	Galveston HA	1,213	\$6,614,486	1,109	\$506	1,165	-56
TX435	Garland	1,463	\$10,034,030	1,391	\$601	1,428	-37
TX264	Georgetown HA	87	\$580,312	87	\$535	83	4
TX081	Gonzales HA	42	\$102,190	38	\$223	32	6
TX434	Grande Prairie HA	2,281	\$17,637,088	2,281	\$621	2,205	76
TX291	Grapevine HA	81	\$488,376	81	\$461	79	2
TX458	Grayson County HA	326	\$958,874	283	\$283	287	-4
TX522	Greenville Sec. 8 Voucher HA	605	\$3,165,676	579	\$456	567	12
TX302	Gregory HA	39	\$103,898	39	\$374	20	19
TX537	Hale County HA	380	\$866,343	349	\$207	328	21
TX428	Hallettsville	25	\$44,267	18	\$206	20	-2
TX065	Harlingen HA	698	\$3,056,613	682	\$374	635	47
TX441	Harris County HA	1,840	\$14,068,199	1,840	\$615	1,809	31
TX053	Haskell HA	37	\$93,185	28	\$280	30	-2
TX050	Henderson	67	\$172,198	49	\$296	59	-10
TX497	Hidalgo County HA	716	\$2,655,308	660	\$335	664	-4
TX445	Hidalgo HA	67	\$209,476	62	\$283	62	0
TX005	Houston HA	14,045	\$98,113,259	13,981	\$585	13,352	629
TX516	Jacksonville HA	207	\$929,294	178	\$434	191	-13
TX044	Jefferson HA	25	\$46,961	20	\$193	21	-1
TX511	Jim Hogg County HA	75	\$215,148	66	\$271	59	7
TX147	Kenedy	34	\$96,888	34	\$234	32	2
TX391	Kermit HA	62	\$190,427	62	\$255	55	7
TX079	Killeen	80	\$335,335	70	\$404	73	-3

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
TX114	Kingsville HA	472	\$1,969,641	464	\$354	467	-3
TX367	Kyle HA	10	\$46,879	10	\$285	9	1
TX294	La Feria HA	10	\$43,271	10	\$344	8	2
TX381	La Grange HA	91	\$244,742	82	\$250	81	1
TX448	La Joya HA	128	\$503,753	126	\$332	129	-3
TX530	LaMarque	58	\$370,487	57	\$544	46	11
TX535	Lamesa Housing Project	113	\$326,471	108	\$252	107	1
TX437	Lancaster HA	1,073	\$6,679,471	954	\$586	954	0
TX011	Laredo HA	1,197	\$5,733,225	1,197	\$390	1,162	35
TX505	Liberty County	271	\$1,173,473	254	\$385	250	4
TX352	Livingston HA	86	\$298,483	85	\$293	82	3
TX459	Longview Sec. 8 Voucher	674	\$3,399,071	674	\$393	670	4
TX206	Los Fresnos HA	102	\$405,777	102	\$308	101	1
TX018	Lubbock HA	852	\$3,880,417	790	\$410	741	49
TX263	Marble Falls HA	126	\$459,344	108	\$355	103	5
TX318	Marfa HA	19	\$74,282	19	\$296	15	4
TX457	Marshall	602	\$2,436,638	600	\$339	495	105
TX164	Mathis HA	92	\$381,858	92	\$341	75	17
TX028	McAllen HA	1,032	\$3,621,435	947	\$319	903	44
TX489	McKinney HA	345	\$1,958,502	318	\$514	316	2
TX029	Mercedes HA	260	\$845,044	231	\$305	209	22
TX158	Merkel HA	20	\$37,523	15	\$202	16	-1
TX436	Mesquite HA	1,359	\$10,135,907	1,281	\$660	1,199	82
TX534	Midland County HA	458	\$2,004,886	456	\$366	419	37
TX298	Mineral Wells HA	235	\$675,502	234	\$241	209	25
TX046	Mission HA	727	\$2,173,735	610	\$297	677	-67
TX408	Monahans HA	44	\$96,073	41	\$195	34	7
TX560	Montgomery County HA	321	\$2,123,644	321	\$546	278	43
TX486	Nacogdoches HA	961	\$5,125,046	941	\$459	941	0
TX469	Navasota HA	51	\$183,145	37	\$409	44	-7
TX343	New Braunfels	292	\$1,142,817	277	\$347	291	-14
TX175	Nixon HA	13	\$33,114	13	\$215	13	0
TX556	Oden HA	48	\$185,031	48	\$322	40	8
TX455	Odessa	1,024	\$5,177,533	1,024	\$419	956	68
TX037	Orange HA	805	\$4,020,731	805	\$388	785	20

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
TX378	Palacios HA	57	\$144,925	42	\$291	42	0
TX481	Panhandle Community Services	1,910	\$7,173,772	1,819	\$329	1,642	177
TX048	Paris HA	181	\$786,284	181	\$352	174	7
TX440	Pasadena	1,056	\$6,150,160	1,056	\$477	1,049	7
TX332	Pearsall	118	\$398,349	118	\$271	110	8
TX073	Pharr HA Voucher	628	\$2,151,133	588	\$305	593	-5
TX049	Pittsburgh HA	25	\$78,743	25	\$247	22	3
TX128	Plano HA	742	\$5,302,450	733	\$603	726	7
TX208	Pleasanton	108	\$340,374	93	\$304	91	2
TX034	Port Arthur HA	1,968	\$9,418,853	1,878	\$418	1,750	128
TX173	Port Isabel HA	44	\$170,917	44	\$295	46	-2
TX395	Port Lavaca	83	\$217,220	74	\$251	76	-2
TX236	Poteet HA	24	\$48,318	24	\$140	23	1
TX248	Poth HA	14	\$50,770	14	\$275	13	1
TX075	Quanah Sec. 8 Voucher	110	\$299,365	110	\$200	109	1
TX546	Ralls HA	45	\$138,541	43	\$275	43	0
TX163	Robstown HA	177	\$1,043,332	177	\$489	172	5
TX095	Rockwall HA	36	\$265,530	36	\$557	34	2
TX449	Roma HA	104	\$274,132	102	\$223	100	2
TX483	Rosenberg HA	340	\$1,909,334	340	\$430	337	3
TX322	Round Rock HA	76	\$716,140	76	\$642	68	8
TX372	Rusk HA	175	\$779,644	167	\$389	164	3
TX470	San Angelo	783	\$2,931,503	672	\$363	690	-18
TX006	San Antonio	11,421	\$68,794,997	11,421	\$475	11,322	99
TX025	San Benito HA	309	\$943,118	256	\$307	256	0
TX447	San Juan HA	151	\$461,265	131	\$293	136	-5
TX087	San Marcos	206	\$1,136,813	202	\$469	200	2
TX350	Schertz HA	148	\$872,741	139	\$524	141	-2
TX303	Seguin	286	\$1,235,011	286	\$362	287	-1
TX174	Sinton HA	61	\$265,824	57	\$390	57	0
TX257	Slaton	64	\$253,388	56	\$374	55	1
TX266	Smithville HA	45	\$282,434	44	\$531	44	0
TX494	Somervell County Sec. 8 Voucher	60	\$189,196	57	\$275	48	9
TX514	South Plains Regional HA	484	\$1,826,227	392	\$388	407	-15
TX167	Stamford HA	47	\$147,530	47	\$249	41	6

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
TX396	Starr County HA	180	\$533,570	180	\$244	168	12
TX500	Stockton PHA	104	\$373,437	104	\$299	99	5
TX431	Tarrant County HA	2,041	\$13,931,428	2,041	\$551	1,817	224
TX341	Tatum HA	63	\$296,009	61	\$406	51	10
TX031	Taylor	78	\$546,912	78	\$512	78	0
TX493	Terrell HA	458	\$2,752,393	441	\$520	440	1
TX014	Texarkana	392	\$1,305,903	362	\$300	312	50
TX032	Texas City HA	313	\$1,928,784	291	\$553	266	25
TX901	Texas Dept. of Housing	1,540	\$9,949,225	1,540	\$318	1,374	166
TX542	Texoma Council Gov'ts	591	\$2,163,467	541	\$333	514	27
TX480	Travis County HA	564	\$4,834,412	512	\$793	521	-9
TX183	Tulia HA	54	\$130,954	37	\$292	33	4
TX456	Tyler HA	908	\$5,916,379	908	\$504	908	0
TX421	Uvalde HA	217	\$632,039	204	\$258	197	7
TX085	Victoria HA	347	\$1,280,229	293	\$367	293	0
TX010	Waco HA	2,070	\$9,194,203	1,808	\$424	1,943	-135
TX461	Walker County HA	251	\$984,538	251	\$307	241	10
TX349	Weatherford HA	517	\$2,163,299	452	\$398	492	-40
TX051	Weslaco HA	328	\$1,251,657	324	\$322	313	11
TX498	Wichita Falls HA Program	989	\$4,246,009	989	\$303	884	105
TX454	Willacy County HA	57	\$205,706	57	\$256	53	4
TX217	Wills Point Sec. 8 Voucher	40	\$163,016	40	\$291	38	2
TX326	Yoakum	16	\$48,916	14	\$291	13	1
TX507	Zapata County HA	118	\$385,968	118	\$252	116	2
UT030	Bear River Regional HA	122	\$547,945	122	\$370	121	1
UT006	Beaver HA	4	\$12,370	2	\$480	4	-2
UT016	Carbon County HA	273	\$878,573	262	\$279	241	21
UT031	Cedar City HA	114	\$417,972	114	\$258	110	4
UT009	Davis County HA	1,036	\$5,976,775	1,036	\$432	970	66
UT015	Emery County HA	68	\$271,665	68	\$318	66	2
UT014	Grand County HA	73	\$255,431	61	\$350	67	-6
UT026	Logan City HA	369	\$1,429,810	368	\$323	336	32
UT029	Myton City HA	33	\$110,593	33	\$259	31	2
UT002	Ogden HA	822	\$4,574,033	822	\$426	794	28
UT007	Provo City HA	883	\$3,900,780	883	\$343	855	28

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
UT028	Roosevelt City HA	91	\$253,735	82	\$257	81	1
UT004	Salt Lake City	2,139	\$12,329,592	2,139	\$466	2,053	86
UT003	Salt Lake County HA	2,135	\$14,007,752	2,135	\$530	2,127	8
UT021	St. George HA	244	\$970,894	244	\$319	241	3
UT020	Tooele County HA	215	\$995,602	215	\$386	197	18
UT011	Utah County HA	952	\$5,228,117	932	\$467	919	13
UT022	Weber County HA	123	\$723,975	123	\$469	122	1
UT025	West Valley City HA	513	\$2,719,195	513	\$436	497	16
VA036	Abemarle County of Housing	429	\$2,661,497	429	\$494	377	52
VA032	Abingdon RHA	121	\$287,962	120	\$200	116	4
VA040	Accomack-North Hampton RHA	538	\$2,327,014	538	\$347	487	51
VA004	Alexandria RHA	1,722	\$15,970,409	1,572	\$847	1,557	15
VA028	Arlington County	1,439	\$14,560,273	1,439	\$736	1,380	59
VA038	Big Stone Gap RHA	124	\$367,563	115	\$267	122	-7
VA002	Briston Redevelopment & HA	254	\$806,886	254	\$250	246	8
VA044	Buckingham Housing Dev. Corp.	72	\$297,886	67	\$369	64	3
VA016	Charlottesville RHA	341	\$1,812,823	300	\$503	305	-5
VA012	Chesapeake RHA	1,618	\$8,452,317	1,343	\$525	1,303	40
VA037	Covington RHA	58	\$190,228	47	\$338	49	-2
VA010	Danville RHA	722	\$3,091,731	722	\$355	703	19
VA019	Fairfax County RHA	3,204	\$33,230,439	3,204	\$852	3,105	99
VA018	Franklin RHA	315	\$1,205,787	296	\$339	289	7
VA017	Hampton RHA	2,403	\$15,037,588	2,403	\$516	2,239	164
VA014	Harrisonburg RHA	553	\$3,049,267	553	\$414	544	9
VA005	Hopewell RHA	247	\$1,391,431	237	\$490	224	13
VA041	James City County Housing	154	\$913,773	147	\$517	146	1
VA034	Lee County RHA	532	\$1,501,216	532	\$229	518	14
VA035	Loudoun County HA	688	\$6,557,716	648	\$844	626	22
VA013	Lynchburg RHA	824	\$2,839,710	683	\$347	720	-37
VA030	Marion RHA	113	\$377,133	111	\$284	114	-3
VA045	Martinsville RHA	484	\$1,545,066	473	\$272	428	45
VA003	Newport News RHA	2,216	\$12,774,019	2,184	\$487	1,950	234
VA006	Norfolk RHA	3,411	\$17,358,009	2,758	\$525	2,349	409
VA015	Norton RHA	110	\$415,756	103	\$337	88	15
VA042	People, Inc. of Southwest Virginia	91	\$345,965	91	\$286	86	5

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
VA020	Petersburg RHA	676	\$4,160,591	676	\$484	673	3
VA001	Portsmouth PHA	1,856	\$9,944,549	1,856	\$527	1,183	673
VA046	Prince William County office of Housing	1,907	\$20,670,078	1,537	\$1,121	1,827	-290
VA007	Richmond RHA	3,112	\$19,373,021	2,983	\$553	2,617	366
VA011	Roanoke RHA	1,510	\$6,536,973	1,487	\$370	1,300	187
VA031	Scott County RHA	197	\$599,611	187	\$267	171	16
VA023	Staunton RHA	221	\$701,961	196	\$343	206	-10
VA025	Suffolk RHA	809	\$4,337,187	739	\$489	627	112
VA043	Total Action Against Poverty in Roanoke	83	\$296,161	64	\$384	76	-12
VA039	Virginia Beach	1,901	\$11,498,222	1,780	\$567	1,448	332
VA901	Virginia HA	9,094	\$54,824,807	9,094	\$482	8,470	624
VA022	Waynesboro RHA	431	\$1,498,656	416	\$300	418	-2
VA024	Wise County RHA	751	\$2,764,874	751	\$291	728	23
VQ901	Virgin Islands HA	1,469	\$8,587,152	1,469	\$547	941	528
VT005	Barre HA	135	\$597,117	129	\$395	124	5
VT009	Bennington HA	207	\$936,803	195	\$402	196	-1
VT002	Brattleboro	187	\$730,147	184	\$331	169	15
VT001	Burlington HA	1,511	\$11,410,925	1,511	\$601	1,507	4
VT010	Hartford HA	43	\$236,961	43	\$416	43	0
VT008	Montpelier HA	122	\$502,050	119	\$352	120	-1
VT003	Rutland HA	70	\$294,263	70	\$341	68	2
VT004	Springfield	61	\$278,352	61	\$356	58	3
VT011	St. Albans City HA	75	\$414,094	74	\$473	74	0
VT901	Vermont State HA	2,951	\$14,386,491	2,946	\$407	2,857	89
VT006	Winooski HA	320	\$1,973,952	320	\$515	308	12
WA010	Anacortes	20	\$87,791	17	\$439	18	-1
WA017	Asotin County HA	217	\$924,151	217	\$326	217	0
WA025	Bellingham	1,618	\$9,046,605	1,618	\$454	1,588	30
WA003	Bremerton HA	1,059	\$5,502,719	995	\$461	978	17
WA004	Clallam County	399	\$1,890,370	399	\$380	352	47
WA013	Columbia Gorge Housing Authority	271	\$1,067,610	260	\$342	249	11
WA006	Everett	2,363	\$17,169,373	2,363	\$592	2,244	119
WA069	Ferry County Joint HA	28	\$81,868	25	\$277	26	-1
WA014	Grant County HA	237	\$952,825	235	\$338	220	15
WA018	Grays Harbor HA	178	\$798,257	178	\$364	176	2

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
WA024	Island County HA	274	\$1,623,085	269	\$503	272	-3
WA068	Jefferson County HA	146	\$597,946	130	\$384	140	-10
WA019	Kalama HA	27	\$143,699	27	\$450	24	3
WA020	Kelso HA	256	\$1,083,108	256	\$352	246	10
WA012	Kennewick HA	542	\$3,582,407	542	\$428	531	11
wa002	Kings County Housing Authority	6,500	\$54,684,930	6,500	\$683	6,439	61
WA036	Kitsap County Consolidated HA	374	\$1,955,223	359	\$454	356	3
WA007	Longview HA	1,110	\$4,921,644	1,080	\$380	1,082	-2
WA059	Mason County HA	322	\$1,341,498	291	\$384	289	2
WA071	Okanogan County HA	165	\$655,274	162	\$341	162	0
WA021	Pasco HA	289	\$1,379,753	289	\$338	287	2
WA054	Pierce County HA	2,633	\$15,218,405	2,559	\$496	2,410	149
WA011	Renton HA	314	\$2,579,968	314	\$666	313	1
WA065	Richland	433	\$2,006,508	368	\$454	344	24
wa001	Seattle	8,234	\$69,763,168	8,092	\$761	7,101	991
WA061	Skagit County	609	\$2,869,436	575	\$416	564	11
WA039	Snohomish County	2,956	\$22,670,490	2,956	\$627	2,885	71
WA055	Spokane HA	4,585	\$19,996,383	4,585	\$363	4,348	237
WA005	Tacoma HA	3,524	\$23,298,442	3,524	\$549	3,419	105
WA049	Thurston County HA	1,871	\$9,909,594	1,871	\$433	1,763	108
WA008	Vancouver HA	1,893	\$10,958,010	1,893	\$465	1,776	117
WA057	Walla Walla HA	719	\$2,625,025	701	\$312	692	9
WA064	Wenatchee HA	449	\$1,672,316	442	\$315	419	23
WA042	Yakima HA	603	\$2,651,202	597	\$370	563	34
WI019	Amery HA	40	\$96,163	40	\$199	40	0
WI085	Antigo HA	149	\$430,419	146	\$247	146	0
WI217	Appleton HA	547	\$1,884,543	547	\$287	534	13
WI231	Ashland County HA	68	\$218,316	66	\$275	61	5
WI131	Ashland HA	62	\$197,170	56	\$295	61	-5
WI245	Barron County HA	140	\$394,753	131	\$252	143	-12
WI064	Beloit Community Development	598	\$2,957,190	562	\$439	575	-13
WI186	Brown County HA	3,234	\$13,629,217	3,216	\$353	3,058	158
WI242	Burnett County	33	\$68,798	28	\$207	25	3
WI248	Chippewa County HA	382	\$1,174,165	382	\$239	382	0
WI230	Crawford County	25	\$74,785	25	\$227	25	0

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
WI214	Dane County	1,057	\$6,198,634	991	\$522	991	0
WI233	Dodge County HA	152	\$422,017	148	\$237	146	2
WI091	Dodgeville HA	66	\$177,303	48	\$310	55	-7
WI206	Door County	255	\$644,929	235	\$228	250	-15
WI160	Dunn County HA	89	\$347,262	86	\$338	75	11
WI193	Eau Claire County HA	221	\$796,862	221	\$277	211	10
WI207	Eau Claire HA	405	\$1,300,877	405	\$260	405	0
WI203	Evansville HA	79	\$285,909	73	\$324	79	-6
WI246	Fond du Lac County HA	430	\$1,499,922	430	\$283	405	25
WI146	Hartford Community Development	148	\$589,016	143	\$343	157	-14
WI219	Janesville CDA	543	\$2,421,319	452	\$446	439	13
WI043	Kaukauna HA	90	\$270,008	89	\$254	83	6
WI195	Kenosha HA	1,107	\$6,048,617	1,090	\$462	1,022	68
WI006	La Crosse HA	144	\$594,182	143	\$347	145	-2
WI221	Lafayette County HA	52	\$125,209	43	\$241	45	-2
WI003	Madison	1,606	\$8,655,044	1,442	\$500	1,375	67
WI241	Marinette County HA	234	\$662,380	205	\$269	212	-7
WI011	Marshfield Community	95	\$211,529	85	\$208	80	5
WI069	Mauston HA	113	\$333,607	109	\$255	94	15
WI156	Middleton HA	103	\$586,847	91	\$537	93	-2
WI218	Milwaukee County HA	2,014	\$11,233,194	1,932	\$485	1,901	31
WI002	Milwaukee HA	5,539	\$27,009,612	5,539	\$408	4,712	827
WI205	Monroe County HA	132	\$331,547	121	\$228	104	17
WI259	New Berlin HA	88	\$394,272	81	\$404	89	-8
WI048	New London HA	97	\$257,221	83	\$259	92	-9
WI020	New Richmond HA	20	\$71,266	18	\$324	18	0
WI262	Oconto County HA	73	\$207,466	69	\$250	65	4
WI113	Oshkosh HA	66	\$139,997	66	\$175	52	14
WI208	Platteville HA	128	\$384,970	101	\$318	89	12
WI237	Portage County HA	156	\$475,114	152	\$261	146	6
WI183	Racine County HA	1,539	\$7,082,487	1,539	\$371	1,364	175
WI070	Rhineland HA	141	\$397,180	126	\$264	126	0
WI050	Rice Lake HA	93	\$194,171	83	\$194	88	-5
WI256	Richland County	217	\$668,772	204	\$275	208	-4
WI060	River Falls HA	75	\$353,164	65	\$451	70	-5

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
WI204	Sauk County HA	298	\$882,774	265	\$278	296	-31
WI222	Sawyer County HA	197	\$568,162	185	\$260	186	-1
WI045	Shawano HA	48	\$114,255	36	\$262	38	-2
WI047	Sheboygan HA	186	\$477,191	170	\$235	166	4
WI037	Stevens Point HA	14	\$40,589	14	\$191	14	0
WI001	Superior HA	169	\$548,428	153	\$299	164	-11
WI263	Taylor County	30	\$72,963	29	\$209	28	1
WI096	Tomah HA	14	\$12,045	12	\$86	13	-1
WI166	Trempealeau County HA	222	\$419,218	215	\$163	204	11
WI244	Walworth County HA	410	\$1,369,193	410	\$273	362	48
WI127	Washburn HA	73	\$193,795	69	\$235	70	-1
WI261	Waukesha County HA	376	\$1,816,471	312	\$485	374	-62
WI142	Waukesha HA	792	\$3,601,794	738	\$407	794	-56
WI031	Wausau HA	395	\$934,248	395	\$197	379	16
WI201	West Allis Community Development	457	\$2,144,344	439	\$407	429	10
WI083	West Bend HA	159	\$614,860	147	\$349	148	-1
WI213	Winnebago County HA	347	\$1,246,994	347	\$288	347	0
WI901	Wisconsin HA	1,263	\$4,709,209	1,206	\$330	1,119	87
WI068	Wisconsin Rapids HA	243	\$616,833	243	\$209	229	14
WI039	Wittenberg HA	20	\$43,866	19	\$191	16	3
WV015	Beckley HA	364	\$1,184,263	363	\$293	330	33
WV014	Benwood HA	57	\$237,984	57	\$348	55	2
WV018	Bluefield HA	361	\$948,076	361	\$209	298	63
WV042	Boone County RHA	497	\$1,556,792	497	\$247	468	29
WV013	Buckhannon HA	82	\$214,462	82	\$212	74	8
WV001	Charleston Housing	1,603	\$7,910,523	1,594	\$414	1,590	4
WV027	Clarksburg HA	244	\$743,493	239	\$260	242	-3
WV009	Fairmont HA	825	\$3,318,411	825	\$327	805	20
WV034	Grant County HA	46	\$114,164	38	\$251	41	-3
WV046	Greenbrier County HA	345	\$1,053,919	320	\$275	333	-13
WV043	Harrison County HA	337	\$993,803	319	\$260	331	-12
WV004	Huntington West Virginia HA	1,331	\$5,040,628	1,241	\$338	1,135	106
WV035	Jackson County HA	915	\$2,218,228	610	\$303	611	-1
WV036	Kanawha County RHA	1,263	\$4,991,916	1,189	\$354	1,189	0
WV010	Keyser HA	202	\$600,375	202	\$218	191	11

## 2006 Voucher Funding Estimates, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 23, 2006

Estimates are based on HUD Voucher Management System data through December 2005. We assumed that the average per-voucher cost (PUC) at each agency will increase from the agency's actual voucher cost in the last quarter of 2005 at the applicable 2006 HUD inflation factor (AAF). The estimate of total vouchers funded is based on an estimate of funding awarded to each agency and the estimated PUC, capped at the number of authorized vouchers in January 2006. Agency-level data on tenant protection voucher awards are not complete; some agencies may have additional funding for tenant protection vouchers not reflected in our estimates. If the actual funding awarded by HUD or an agency's actual average PUC differs from our estimates, a PHA could use more or less vouchers than we estimate. Some PHAs have carry-over funds they could use to lease additional vouchers. These estimates consider carry-over funds only for agencies that are facing a cut in vouchers funded in 2006.

Housing Agency Code	Housing Agency Name	Total Authorized Vouchers Jan. 2006 (HUD data)	2006 Renewal Funding (CBPP Estimate)	Total Vouchers Funded (Includes Pre-2006 Tenant Protection \$)	2006 Average Monthly Per Voucher Cost (PUC)	2005 Average Monthly Voucher Utilization	Potential Change in Leasing from 2005 Average Level
WV006	Martinsburg HA	285	\$989,339	285	\$284	282	3
WV037	Mingo County HA	1,431	\$4,033,344	1,342	\$251	1,222	120
WV002	Morgantown HA	210	\$979,460	207	\$394	212	-5
WV005	Parkersburg Housing	1,317	\$4,795,696	1,317	\$299	1,200	117
WV017	Point Pleasant HA	125	\$357,336	117	\$255	98	19
WV039	Raleigh County HA	1,300	\$4,328,452	1,297	\$278	1,252	45
WV045	Randolph County HA	598	\$1,723,456	592	\$242	562	30
WV016	Weirton HA	535	\$1,645,658	521	\$263	490	31
WV003	Wheeling HA	421	\$1,626,436	421	\$319	396	25
WY004	Casper HA	499	\$1,827,307	499	\$284	487	12
WY002	Cheyenne HA	1,596	\$6,859,678	1,434	\$399	1,392	42
WY013	Evanston HA	86	\$197,726	65	\$252	77	-12
WY003	Rock Springs HA	25	\$96,588	22	\$370	23	-1
	Total	2,136,895	\$13,875,727,207	2,045,810		1,960,863	84,947