## NEWS RELEASE

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: CONTACT: Henry Griggs Monday, August, 23, 2004 202-408-1080

## RESPONSE TO HHS'S ANNOUNCEMENT THAT TANF CASELOADS FELL IN 2003 Trend Touted by HHS but Should Be Cause for Concern

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services announced today that caseloads in the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program fell in 2003. In the press release announcing the decline, Secretary Tommy Thompson said that "American families are improving their lives by leaving public assistance and entering the workforce." Last year, shortly after the Census Bureau released data showing a marked rise in child poverty in the United States in 2002, HHS issued a similar press released that trumpeted TANF caseload declines in 2002 and called them "encouraging."

Just as last year's release failed to note that child poverty increased in 2002, this year's release fails to note that the proportion of single mothers who are employed *fell* in 2003 and the unemployment rate rose markedly among single mothers.

- The employment rate among single mothers fell from 71.5 percent in 2002 to 69.8 percent in 2003 a larger decline than among other parents or the population overall.
- The unemployment rate among single mothers rose from 9.5 percent to 10.2 percent.

Although poverty data for 2003 will not be released until Thursday, both conservative and liberal analysts agree that the data likely will show that the number of children in poverty rose again in 2003.

It is reasonable to expect that the number of families receiving TANF assistance will fall when the number of needy families — poor families with no or limited employment — declines. The new data released by HHS are troubling because they show that fewer families were helped by TANF at the very time that the number of jobless single mothers was rising and the number of payroll jobs in the economy overall was declining. (Payroll jobs fell by 410,000 from 2002 to 2003.)

"Contrary to Secretary Thompson's statement, the decline in TANF caseloads does not appear to mean that more families are leaving welfare for work. The decline in employment rates among single mothers suggests that more families may have fallen deeper into poverty in 2003 because they neither had a job nor received cash assistance," said Sharon Parrott, the Center's director of welfare reform policy.

While cash assistance caseloads have not increased, caseloads in other low-income programs — including food stamps and Medicaid — have grown as would be expected during a period of labor market weakness and increased poverty. HHS

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510 Washington, DC 20002

Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056

center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

Robert Greenstein Executive Director

Iris J. Lav Deputy Director

**Board of Directors** 

David de Ferranti, Chair The World Bank

John R. Kramer, Vice Chair Tulane Law School

Henry J. Aaron Brookings Institution

Ken Apfel University of Texas

Barbara B. Blum Columbia University

Marian Wright Edelman Children's Defense Fund

James O. Gibson
Center for the Study of Social
Policy

Beatrix Hamburg, M.D. Cornell Medical College

Frank Mankiewicz
Hill and Knowlton

Richard P. Nathan Nelson A Rockefeller Institute of Government

Marion Pines Johns Hopkins University

Sol Price Chairman, The Price Company (Retired)

Robert D. Reischauer Urban Institute

Audrey Rowe ACS, Inc.

Susan Sechler German Marshall Fund

Juan Sepulveda, Jr.
The Common Experience/
San Antonio

William Julius Wilson Harvard University should examine why TANF cash assistance programs do not appear to be responding to increases in joblessness and poverty.

## Caseload Decline May Not Be Related to Improved Employment Outcomes: Evidence from Two States

There is evidence from at least two states that posted significant TANF caseload declines that these declines may not be related to improving employment outcomes for recipients. Illinois has consistently been among the states with the largest caseload declines since 2001 when the economy began to weaken. An independent report by Northwestern University found that caseload declines through 2002 were associated with a growing number of former welfare recipients who both were unemployed and did not receive assistance. This research suggests that caseload decline in Illinois may have left large numbers of families without either earnings or cash aid, thereby pushing them deeper into poverty.

Texas is another state that saw significant caseload declines between 2002 and 2003. A large portion of the decline in the caseload in Texas appears to be driven by a policy change implemented during this period which terminated assistance to the entire family when an adult did not meet certain program expectations. Prior to this policy change, if a parent did not comply with a program requirement, the family lost a portion of its grant. While there is no research available on how families cut off from the TANF cash assistance program under this new rule have fared in Texas, research from other states consistently has shown that such families have low employment rates after being cut off from aid and often have significant "barriers to employment" — such as disabilities, children with health problems, and low literacy levels — that affect their ability to find and retain employment.

For additional information on employment for single mothers in 2003 and the decline in TANF participation by families who are poor enough to quality, see the Center's paper: "Employment Rates For Single Mothers Fell Substantially During Recent Period Of Labor Market Weakness" at <a href="http://www.cbpp.org/6-22-04ui.htm">http://www.cbpp.org/6-22-04ui.htm</a>.

####

The Center on Budget and Policy Priorities is a nonprofit, nonpartisan research organization and policy institute that conducts research and analysis on a range of government policies and programs. It is supported primarily by foundation grants.